

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:22:44 AM
Last Modified: Monday, April 16, 2018 2:25:07 PM
Time Spent: 03:02:23
IP Address: 203.173.252.231

Page 1: Your contact details

Q1 Your details

Full Name	Lynette Frances Martin
Address	45 Murray Place
Address 2	PO Box 14
City/Town	Lake Tekapo
Postal Code	7945
Email Address	lyntekapo@gmail.com
Phone Number	03 6806537

Q2 Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Submissions will be heard in Fairlie on Thursday 3 May 2018 and in Twizel on Friday 4 May 2018. If you wish to be heard, you will be required to keep your presentation to 10 minutes maximum. Councillors will have read your written submission prior to the hearing.

No, I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission

Q3 Where do you wish your submission to be heard?

Fairlie on 3 May

Page 2: Your submission

Q4 KEY ISSUE: ROADING - from the following options, which are described in more detail on pages 6 and 7 of the Consultation Document, which is your preference?

OPTION 2: Include budgets in each year of the plan for all roading improvement projects that attract NZTA co-funding, and borrow to fund Council's 49% share.

,
Why is this your preference?

(optional):

Maintenance of standards is key, but, ongoing improvements are needed - inaction means roading standard will decline.

There is not such thing as the status quo - if management is not looking forwards, it is going backwards. Borrowing is an equitable way of spreading funding across generations

Q5 OTHER ISSUES: Do you have feedback on any of the other issues or projects outlined in the Consultation Document or any of the supporting documents? (note: you can upload your submission as a document if you prefer, or upload supporting documents, by going to the next question)

It is noted that almost no attention is paid to how tourism is CHANGING THE NATURE OF OUR COMMUNITIES.

The issue here is to protect Residential 1 with strong enforcement of zoning rules.

Example: The permanent residents of Tekapo are OUTNUMBERED by absentee owners of rental homes; many almost exclusively rentals cf. holiday homes.

This threatens any sense of community and leaves community projects (e.g. kindy, clean ups, charity events etc) the work of a very small number. A contribution by way of rates is not sufficient to support a community's need for services above the 3 waters and the like.

It is fair and not punitive that rental home owners pay their dues to this community by way of correct rating - enforcement by MDC must be accelerated.

In the same vein, attention to what is being Consented needs attention, especially in Residential 1 where enforcement and the correct application of any zoning rules appear to be meaningless. How is a property consented for 12 guests in Res 1? And who knows? Please visit Lochinvar and admit that it is really a Tourist Zone, not a Residential 1 as designated.

Planning for Growth including Community Facilities:

Tekapo School pool, Tekapo Kindy, Playgrounds.....these are facilities for the benefit of the community, but oh so slow and oh so much grovelling to get the \$\$\$\$\$. It's hard not to overlook the large chunk budgeted for funding promotion of the district, including the Alps to Ocean; yes, used by local too, but will a local initiative for Tekapo Trails be funded?

Do the needs of tourists trump local needs? Cynical yes, but needs to be stated.

Note the Herculean task required to get funding recognition to protect the Church of the Good Shepherd - another example of how tourism is changing our community.

Growth in the way of traffic volume is being planned for (the Abley Roding and Transportation Strategy), but it seems to be a Long, Long Term Plan. Local pleas to see some action in this area, not another consultation, as well as pleas to NZTA around speed limits have at last been heard, but only after pressure from our small numbers trying to protect our pedestrians and our motorists.

Growth requires more services: e.g. small retail outlets, medical clinic. Where is the provision for these? Land available? Subsidising high cost leases?

I do not see anything imaginative in this document to allow for the growing needs of the community.

The permanent residents, especially those not involved in tourism do not necessarily gain, as one constantly hears, from an increase in house values unless they plan to die, or to move to an area of lower value - but we already live in a paradise, a paradise that needs protecting if it is to remain so.

Q6 You can upload your submission or supporting documents here.

Respondent skipped this question
