#21

Submission form

This form can also be completed online at www.mackenzie.govt.nz

YOUR CONTACT DETAILS (these must be provided for us to consider your submission)

Full name: Philip Rive

Name of organisation (if applicable): —

Postal address: PO Box 189 Twizel

Post code: 7944

Telephone: _____ Mobile: 027 6711859

Email: philrive95@gmail.com

HEARINGS (submission hearings will take place on 3 May 2018 in the Council Chambers in Fairlie and on 4 May 2018 at the Events Centre Community Lounge in Twizel.)

Do you wish to present your submission to Council in person? Yes ☐ No ☑
Fairlie 3 May or Twizel 4 May *(please circle)*

Do you wish to present your submission to Council by telephone conference call on 3 May? Yes ☐ No ☑

If you answered 'yes', the hearings will start at 9am on 3 and 4 May. You will be allocated 10 minutes to speak. You don't need to read out your written submission as councillors will have read it prior to the hearing. If you plan to present your submission by phone, we will make every reasonable effort to contact you at the number/s you have provided. If you're unable to speak due to unavailability or technical issues, we may not be able to allocate you another time.

Would you prefer to present your submission in the morning or afternoon? Morning ☐ Afternoon ☐

Note: We will do our best to give people their preferred time to present, but we can't guarantee everyone will get their preferred time.

Cut or fold here to make the form A4 size for scanning or posting

Today's choices, tomorrow's Mackenzie.

Key issue

HOW MUCH SHOULD WE INVEST IN ROADS?

☐ I/we prefer Option 1: Set aside a maximum budget of $300,000 for each year of the plan for roading improvement projects, and borrow to fund Council's 49% share of this amount.

☑ I/we prefer Option 2: Include budgets in each year of the plan for all roading improvement projects that ~~attract NZTA~~ co-funding, and borrow to fund Council's 49% share.

☐ I/we prefer Option 3: Borrow for all roading improvements projects whether they are co-funded or not.

Why is this your preferred option?

Please see notes overleaf.

P12 + 13 Community facilities - Yes to all except the $150k strategic study. Suggest that's done in house + work with community ala 2-3 years ago in Twizel. Some very good clear results, talk to Cr Leslie. $130k is a lot of rates.

P12 Pool, Twizel – Groups who want covered, heated, 12 mth open etc need to find a private funder. Not a ~~rates~~ good use of rates, money down the drain.

Solid waste – Recycling costs more than it can possibly generate. Segregate waste into current groups, but basically dump (store) it in GPS located landfill, so it can be accessed in future when demand / scarcity / value increases. Cartage only makes it someone else's problem, at our high expense.

Water chlorination – there may be good justifications for it, but it makes my water disgusting. Rather than filtering for floaties, I'm filtering for chlorine. We should be supplied high quality water, not (&) graded water.

– RC's for commercial activities – small business activities Otherwise team, Great job! that have little or no environmtl or amenity impact, should not have to go thru the Notified Cons process. The cost is well out of proportion to the impact + size of business. It stops people being able to start & operate new ventures in the Mackenzie.

(continue over page if needed)

Today's choices, tomorrow's Mackenzie.

www.mackenzie.govt.nz

05 APR 2018

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

You can include additional feedback on the key issues or any other proposals included in the Draft Long Term Plan 2018-28 here:

Twizel town density / zoning. Tourism Accom, worker accomm critical (cite QTN, Wanaka, Takapo + now Twizel)
Traffic mgmt plan.
Manmade Hill / golf club land needs to be made available
Water – Council must push ECAN for a more sensible water use level. The town, in the 70's thrived with 7000 residents plus industrial level use, and waste, of water. No shortages. We now have ~1200 residents and are being told to "use less", "there is a water shortage" etc. There is no shortage, it's just we have an arbitrary limit and it's too low. What happens when Twizel has 5000 or 10k residents? "Saving" water won't help, the control of its "controllers" (ECAN) is required. New lifestyle subdivisions need to have controls to avoid effectively massive tracts of land being irrigated to lawns thru the town supply. Surely a poor use of expensive resource (town supply) that could be sourced from a specific irrigation bore or rain.

— Tourism infrastructure – Mack Dist is smallest ratepayer base + largest geo area. Central Govt wants the GDP we produce thru tourism, it needs to pay. Not the residents who have their own needs to support. Tax them at the airport. Easy as that.

— Please increase zoning density within the original Twizel township. Avg section 700m². Allow 2-3 units per section without RC requirement. Will make way for housing more suited for tourism + worker accomm. Neither L/lords nor tenants want 600m² of lawn to mow. These houses were built for a lifestyle 40-50 years old now. High density can be cool, allow 2-level / split level etc. Look to arrowtown Ophir etc to see the really old houses were on very little land. Allow infill housing, especially now land values are high, purchasers need to be able to offset their large loan repayments. I'd like my kids to buy in Twizel, but right now it's not looking good.

— No suggestions on traffic but refer to General thoughts above.

— MDC needs to exert pressure on B.O.C.C to either look after ManMade Hill and surrounds, or relinquish it to MDC for industrial land. Suggest they given 6 mths to offer their own L.T.P for this area, and if suitable needs to be finished within 2 yrs. Otherwise they default + land is developed / sold by MDC for good money, not the give-away prices of the past. Also ALL MDC land for sale must be made openly for sale, no private deals (NW Arch e.g.).

(attach more pages if needed)

Reading – increased traffic due tourism, Central Govt should pay, thru a tax at the ports, on tourists who all use our roads. Ratepayers cannot be expected to fund impressive state highways, and town roads. CO OPTION 2

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

You can include additional feedback on the key issues or any other proposals included in the Draft Long Term Plan 2018-28 here:

Don't let traffic congestion kill our appeal. Look at QTN, just too hard to drive into.

General thoughts on budgeting for improvements eg reading, water infrastructure – it's only going to get dearer, the cheapest time to do it is now. Look at Auckland's reading, public transport etc has always been 20 years behind what's needed. Rather than being reactionary which means we will always be behind the 8 ball, we need a clear plan and commitment + invest, possibly by borrowing. Twz / MDist. has seen dramatic (and probably not sustainable) increases in human activities. In only 2 years I have seen changes that are being addressed by MDC, but in an ad-hoc / reactionary way, and are still not keeping up.

Privacy Act 1993: Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form, including your personal information and submission will be made available on our website, and to the media and public as part of the decision-making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the Long Term Plan process. This information will be held by the Mackenzie District Council, Main Street, Fairlie. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.

Cut or fold here to make the form A4 size for scanning or posting

DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2018 - 2028