IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

ENV-2021-CHC-92

In the matter of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act)

and

In the matter of an appeal under clause 14 schedule one of the Act

Between DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF CONSERVATION

Appellant

And MACKENZIE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF CLASSIC PROPERTIES LIMITED'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

26 August 2021

Solicitor acting: Katherine Forward PO Box 5, Christchurch 8140

To: The Registrar
Environment Court

Christchurch

- 1 Classic Properties Limited (**CPL**) wishes to be a party to the abovenamed appeal.
- 2 CPL made a submission and further submission to Plan Change 18 (Indigenous Biodiversity) (**PC18**) to the Mackenzie District Plan that is the subject of these proceedings.
- Notice of the decision on PC18 was received by CPL on 24 June 2021. CPL elected not to appeal the decision.
- Maryburn Station (owned by CPL) is located within the Mackenzie Basin subzone and is subject to the Mackenzie District Plan (MDP). In that respect, CPL has an interest in these proceedings greater than the interest that the general public has.
- 5 CPL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.
- 6 CPL is interested in all of the proceedings.
- Without detracting from the generality of 6 above, those particular parts of the appeal in which CPL is interested, and whether it supports or opposes those parts are identified in **Appendix A**. Appendix A is not specific to this appeal and identifies CPL's position with respect to the following related appeals:
 - 7.1.1 ENV-20210-CHC-91 Meridian Energy Ltd (**MEL**)
 - 7.1.2 ENV-20210-CHC-92 Director-General of Conservation
 - 7.1.3 ENV-20210-CHC-93 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated
 - 7.1.4 ENV-20210-CHC-94 Environmental Defence Society Incorporated

1

13580889_1

8 CPL supports the relief sought by MEL in relation to the deletion of figure 1 and subsection (c) from the definition of 'significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna' because the map depicting Mackenzie Basin alluvial outwash and moraine ecosystems has not been ground-truthed or adequately consulted upon.

9 For all other appeals, CPL opposes the relief sought because the outcomes have the potential to impose inappropriate restriction(s) and increased consenting obligations on the current and future farming operations of CPL.

10 Further, CPL considers that the relief sought:

10.1.1 Is inconsistent with the Canterbury Policy Statement 2013 (CRPS) and fails to provide a pathway for development and use of land in accordance with the concept of sustainable management prescribed in the Act. The decisions version of PC18 should be preferred.

10.1.2 Does not differentiate between maintenance clearance and new clearance activities, a matter of critical importance to the ongoing viability of current farming operations in the Makenzie Basin.

10.1.3 Is inconsistent with section 32, the purposes and principals of part 2, and the requirements for district plans set out in sections 72, 74 and 75 of the Act.

10.1.4 Will not promote certainty or provisions that are capable of construction and use by non-specialist users of the MDP.

10.1.5 Is not supported by robust scientific assessment.

11 CPL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated 26 August 2021

Katherine Forward

Counsel for Classic Properties Limited

13580889_1

2

Address for service:

Attention: Katherine Forward

Duncan Cotterill

Level 2, Duncan Cotterill Plaza

148 Victoria Street

Christchurch 8013

Tel +64 3 379 2430

Email: Katherine.forward@duncancotterill.com

Any documents served on Counsel for Classic Properties Limited should also be served on Classic Properties Limited:

C/ Mr M and Mrs P Murray Maryburn Station Fairlie 7949

Email: penny@maryburn.co.nz

Advice:

If you have any questions in relation to this notice, please contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.

13580889_1

3

APPENDIX A

| PROVISION APPEALED | EDS | DOC | FOREST & BIRD | MEL |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Mapping of fully converted and | Oppose | Oppose | Oppose | |
| unconverted land | | | | |
| Definitions | | | | |
| Improved pasture | Oppose | Oppose | Oppose | |
| Indigenous vegetation | | | Oppose | |
| Significant indigenous vegetation and | Oppose | Oppose | Oppose | Support with respect to deletion |
| significant habitats of indigenous fauna | | | | of figure 1 and subsection (c) |
| Vegetation clearance | Oppose | Oppose | Oppose | |
| Objectives and Policies | | | | |
| Objective 1 | | | Oppose | |
| Policy 2 | | | Oppose | |
| Policy 3 | | | Oppose | |
| Policy 4 | Oppose | | Oppose | |
| Policy 5 | Oppose | | Oppose | |
| Rules | | | | |
| Rule 1.1.1(1)(a) | Oppose regarding | Oppose regarding | | |
| | requested maximum | deleting stock tracks | | |
| | clearance cap | and stock crossings | | |
| Rule 1.1.1(1)(b) | Oppose | | | |
| Rule 1.1.1(7) | Oppose | Oppose | | |
| Rule 1.3.2(1) | | | Oppose | |
| Rule 19.1.1 | | | Oppose | |
| Rule 19.1.2.2 | | | Oppose | |
| Rule 19.3.2 | | | Oppose | |