#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Monday, April 23, 2018 10:56:59 AM

 Last Modified:
 Monday, April 23, 2018 11:13:06 AM

Time Spent: 00:16:06 **IP Address:** 163.47.107.70

Page 1: Your contact details

Q1 Your details

Full Name Murray Hobbs

Address 38 Regent St

City/Town Fairlie

Postal Code 7925

Email Address murray@aoraki.com

Phone Number 0223796811

Q2 Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Submissions will be heard in Fairlie on Thursday 3 May 2018 and in Twizel on Friday 4 May 2018. If you wish to be heard, you will be required to keep your presentation to 10 minutes maximum. Councillors will have read your written submission prior to the hearing.

Yes, I wish to be heard in support of my submission

Q3 Where do you wish your submission to be heard?

Fairlie on 3 May

Page 2: Your submission

Q4 KEY ISSUE: ROADING - from the following options, which are described in more detail on pages 6 and 7 of the Consultation Document, which is your preference?

Why is this your preference? (optional):

Is roading our big cost? Should not ALL roading be calculated on some user pays basis where ALL benefiting users are identified and if they are being subsidised by rate payers then exactly how and by how much are those subsidies being repaid?

Mackenzie District Council Draft Long Term Plan 2018-28

Q5 OTHER ISSUES: Do you have feedback on any of the other issues or projects outlined in the Consultation Document or any of the supporting documents? (note: you can upload your submission as a document if you prefer, or upload supporting documents, by going to the next question)

Right now I'm ill so am writing a minimal response. My main concern is that it feels like residential rate payers are subsidising others. For rich residential property owners rates are nothing so they don't really care - they see their property values go up and they plan to cash in at some stage. Targeting such land-bankers is fine but when your net also catches a lot of defenseless people then we start to wonder. We feel even more peeved when doing simple rates apportionment calcs makes it look like some parts of the community are catching a bit of a subsidised ride based on they assertion that they are necessary for the local economy - I do not believe that. Motels are not necessary in a world of Air BnB and treating old age property owners as if they are hosting tourists is also not fair. Our biggest costs are not being scrutinised adequately. It all looks like as long as councillors can see better than average outlook for themselves then they are happy to sign off.

That's not governance folks. It's pretence.

Q6 You can upload your submission or supporting documents here.

Respondent skipped this question