



**PLAN CHANGE 27 – EARTHWORKS, SUBDIVISION, PUBLIC ACCESS AND  
TRANSPORT**

**SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 27 TO THE MACKENZIE DISTRICT PLAN**

**FORM 5**

**UNDER CLAUSE 6 OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT  
1991**

**Details of Submitter**

Full Name: (Required)	ANDREW HOCHEN
Contact Person: (If different from above)	MACKENZIE PROPERTIES LTD
Email Address: (Required)	andrew@mackenzieproperties.co.nz
Postal Address: (Optional)	P.O. Box 17202; Greenlane 1546. Auckland.
Telephone Number: (Required)	021-822969
Fax (Optional)	N/A.

**Trade Competition**

~~I could~~ / I could not (*delete one*) gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have selected could, please answer the question below:

N/A

I am / I am not (*delete one*) directly affected by an effect of the subject matter that:

- adversely affect the environment; and
- does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Submission Details**

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are as follows:

Activity Status of Subdivision Rules



I support/oppose these provisions:

(include whether you support or oppose in full or in part)

Subdivision activity status should remain as controlled if all rules and policies and standards are met. This should be for all zones.

The reason(s) for my submission are:

(state in summary your reasons, and whether you seek any amendments)

- \* Increased compliance costs for applicant and Council.
- \* Less certainty that a proposed development will be approved by Council.
- \* If all standards and rules are met by a proposal why then should an application to subdivide be able to be rejected? Not an optimal use of time and resources. Contrary to the goals and desires of the RMA.

I seek the following decision from the Mackenzie District Council:

(give precise details)

Leave current policy alone ie: keep controlled activity status for all zones where standards and rules comply with the District Plan.

---


---

---

---

- ☒ I wish to be heard in support of my submission.  
☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.  
(Tick one box)

If others make a similar submission I **would / would not** (circle one) be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

 Company Director Machezie Properties Ltd.  
Signature of submitter or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter  
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

26/1/24  
Date:

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language; or
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Once the closing date for submissions has passed, Council will publicly notify all submissions received, prepare a summary of submissions, and will allow a period for further submissions in support of, or in opposition to, those submissions already made.

Council hearings for Plan Changes 23 to 27 will then be arranged to consider all submissions. Anyone who has made a submission and indicated that they wish to be heard will have the right to attend the hearings and present their submission.