



Mackenzie
DISTRICT COUNCIL

**PLAN CHANGE 30 – SPECIAL PURPOSE ZONES, VARIATION 2 TO PLAN CHANGE 23,
VARIATION 3 TO PLAN CHANGE 26, AND VARIATION 3 TO PLAN CHANGE 27**

FURTHER SUBMISSION

FORM 6

**UNDER CLAUSE 8 OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT
1991**

Please note all information provided in this submission will be made publicly available

Details of Further Submitter

Full Name: (Required)	Glentanner Park LTD Glentanner LTD
Contact Person: (If different from above)	George Ivey
Postal Address: (optional)	
Email Address: (Required)	george@glentanner.co.nz
Telephone Number: (Required)	0272111654

Further Submitter Declaration

I am:

- ☐ a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category below.
- ☒ a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category below.

(Tick one box)

The Ivey family own the property which is being considered under the GST Zone in plan change 30

Further Submission Details

I support/oppose the submission of:

(enter the name and address of original submitter and the original submitter number)

Forest and Bird
Plan Change 30 Submitter #5

The particular parts of the submission I support/oppose are:

(clearly indicate which parts of the submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)

5.12	Rules Glenanner SP Zone - R2	
5.18	"	" - R10
5.19	"	" - R12
5.20	"	" - R13
5.21	"	" - R15
5.22	"	" - R16
5.23	"	" - R17

} We Dissagree with forest and bird Submission

We are opposed to more points as well which we would like to discuss at a future hearing.

The reasons for my support or opposition are:

(give reasons)

5.12 - We wish to maintain the right to keep Airport Buildings as a permitted activity.
5.18 - Fand B earthworks rule proposals are overly restrictive.
5.19 - We oppose shutting down the current UAV operations, Noise complaints are a nonsense and an attack by a select few. Current ops and flight paths are entirely appropriate from Glenanner and far less obtrusive than DOC's low level heli ops currently undertaken over the Tasman Delta.
5.20-5.21-5.22 and 5.23 are all overly restrictive submissions.

I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:

(give precise details)

Glenanner Tourism operations and aircraft operations are dependant on high conservation and landscape values. We are very proud of our environmental care and have always worked closely with DOC. Glenanner plays an important role in taking pressure off Aoraki Mt Cook National Park. We believe our many positive reviews and support by educational groups are Ja witness to our ongoing Stewardship of this land. This submission appears to be nothing more than an attack and an attempt to slow a very well considered plan around appropriate Progress.

☒ I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

