

**PLAN CHANGE 28 – HAZARDS AND RISKS, HISTORIC HERITAGE AND NOTABLE TREES, VARIATION 1 TO PLAN CHANGE 26, AND VARIATION 1 TO PLAN CHANGE 27**

**FURTHER SUBMISSION**

**FORM 6**

**UNDER CLAUSE 8 OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

Please note all information provided in this submission will be made publicly available

**Details of Further Submitter**

Full Name: <i>(Required)</i>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
Contact Person: <i>(If different from above)</i>	Mitzie Bisnar
Postal Address: <i>(optional)</i>	64 Gloucester Street, PO Box 4403, Christchurch Mail Centre 8140
Email Address: <i>(Required)</i>	mbisnar@heritage.org.nz; infosouthern@heritage.org.nz
Telephone Number: <i>(Required)</i>	03 363 1895

**Further Submitter Declaration**

I am:

- ☐ a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category below.
- ☒ a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category below.

*(Tick one box)*

Please refer to the attachment for our full submission.

---

---

---

---

**Further Submission Details**

I support/oppose the submission of:

*(enter the name and address of original submitter and the original submitter number)*

Please refer to the attachment for our full submission.

---

---

---

---

The particular parts of the submission I support/oppose are:

*(clearly indicate which parts of the submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)*

Please refer to the attachment for our full submission.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

The reasons for my support or opposition are:

*(give reasons)*

Please refer to the attachment for our full submission.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:

*(give precise details)*

Please refer to the attachment for our full submission.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

☒ **I wish to be heard** in support of my further submission.

- ☐ **I do not wish to be heard** in support of my further submission.  
(Tick one box)

If others make a similar further submission I **would / would not** (circle one) be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

---

Signature of further submitter or person authorised to sign on behalf of further submitter  
(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

24/02/2025

Date:

The closing date for lodging a further submission is **5pm Monday 24 February 2025**. Please note that a copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after it is served on the Mackenzie District Council.

Your submission (or part of your submission) may also be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language; or
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Once the closing date for further submissions has passed, Council hearings for the Plan Changes, Variations and Designations will be arranged to consider all submissions. Anyone who has made a submission or further submission and indicated that they wish to be heard will have the right to attend the hearings and present their submission or further submission.

If you have any questions regarding the Plan Changes, Variations and Designations or the further submission process, please do not hesitate to contact the Planning Department at 03 685 9010 or via email [districtplan@mackenzie.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@mackenzie.govt.nz).



HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND  
POUHERE TAONGA

24 February 2025

Mackenzie District Council  
PO Box 52  
Fairlie 7949

By email: [districtplan@mackenzie.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@mackenzie.govt.nz)

Tēnā koe,

**HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA FURTHER SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED MACKENZIE DISTRICT PLAN CHANGE 28**

**To:** Mackenzie District Plan Change 28 Submissions  
**Name of submitter:** Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)

**Submitter Details**

1. This is a further submission by HNZPT in respect of submissions to the Proposed Mackenzie District Plan.
2. HNZPT has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public. HNZPT is an autonomous Crown Entity with statutory responsibility under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 for the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of New Zealand's historic and cultural heritage.

**Submission Details**

3. HNZPT's further submissions are set out in Appendix 1.

**Submission at the Hearing**

4. HNZPT wishes to be heard in support of its further submissions. If others make a similar submission, HNZPT will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Ngā mihi,

**Dr Christine Whybrew**  
**Director Southern**  
**Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga**

Address for Service:



HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND  
POUHERE TAONGA

Mitzie Bisnar  
Planner  
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  
64 Gloucester Street  
PO Box 4403  
Christchurch Mail Centre 8140  
Email: [mbisnar@heritage.org.nz](mailto:mbisnar@heritage.org.nz)

Appendix 1: Further submission of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga on Plan Change 28

**APPENDIX 1: FURTHER SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON PLAN CHANGE 28**

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Plan section / Relief sought by submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	<b>Reason for HNZPT further submission</b>	<b>Relief sought: Allow / Disallow</b>
The Church Property Trustees, The Church of the Good Shepherd Tekapo Committee	43.01, 33.01	<b>Church of the Good Shepherd Heritage Overlay:</b> The submitters request the exclusion of Area A in the notified Heritage Overlay for The Church of the Good Shepherd as it is too extensive, not sufficiently assessed or supported by technical heritage assessment, and imposes significant costs on the Diocese as the land is held on trust for the benefit of the parish.	Oppose in part	HNZPT opposes this submission in part as we consider the notified heritage overlay provides appropriate protection for the Church of the Good Shepherd and its surrounding open space and viewshaft. HNZPT notes that development on Area A may have the potential to create adverse effects on the heritage values of the Church of the Good Shepherd if this is not included within the overlay. As such, HNZPT supports the inclusion of this area subject to specific design guidelines, as sought in our submission, to allow for appropriate development in Area A that will not impede on the surrounding open space and viewshaft of the Church of the Good Shepherd.	Disallow in part
Laura Batchelor, Dan Richards, Graham Batchelor, The Burkes Pass Heritage Trust, Alex Lusby, Morris James Hall, Warren and Maree Frost, Michael	06.01, 07.01, 18.01, 15.01, 21.01, 10.01, 11.01, 19.01,	<b>Te Kopi-o-Ōpihi:</b> The submitters request the reinstatement of the Te Kopi-o-Ōpihi / Burkes Pass Heritage Overlay as recommended by heritage expert Richard Knott in his 4 July 2024 be reinstated (including building guidelines).	Support	HNZPT supports this submission to reinstate the Te Kopi-o-Ōpihi / Burkes Pass Heritage Overlay as recommended by Mr Richard Knott in July 2024. A continuous heritage overlay will provide more comprehensive protection of the heritage items along State Highway 8 in Burkes Pass from adverse effects of	Allow

Lawrence Bunckenburg, Joyce and John Kelly, Peter and Janine Donohue, Philip Quelch, Jim Allan, Janette Kear, John Emery, Caroline Thomson, Robyn Thomson, Julie Greig and Jan Zyzalo, Elizabeth Angelo Roxborough	20.01, 22.01, 24.01, 38.01, 40.01, 44.01, 49.01, 51.01, 54.01, 62.01			inappropriate use, development and subdivision, in accordance with s6(f) of the RMA. This is consistent with HNZPT's submission which sought a more continuous heritage overlay for Te Kopi-o-Ōpihi / Burkes Pass.	
Fairlie & Districts Residents and Ratepayers Society Inc.	36.05	<b>HH-SCHED2:</b> The submitter questions the proposed HH-SCHED2 Schedule of Historic Heritage Sites, Buildings, Structures and Items and requests that the additions to the schedule are abandoned. Instead, the submitter requests that Council use education and grants to help landowners maintain historic structures as opposed to imposing regulations.	Oppose	HNZPT strongly opposes this submission. We consider the Schedule of Historic Heritage Sites, Buildings, Structures and Items to be one of the most important tools for the identification of the district's historic heritage and its protection from inappropriate use, development or subdivision in accordance with s6(f) of the RMA.	Disallow