

## **Resource Management Submission Form**

Under the Resource Management Act 1991

Tick if postal address is preferred

method of correspondence\*:

Phone number (Day):

Submitters Details		
Submitters Full Name/Company/Trust:	Tekapo Springs	<u>-</u>
Contact Name:	Karl Burtscher	
Email address*:	karl@Tekaposprings.co.nz	
Postal Address*:	P O Box 150 Lake Tekapo	

\* Our default method of corresponding with you is by email and phone. Alternatively, if you wish to receive correspondence by post (including any decision) please provide a postal address and tick the relevant box above.

Address For Service (if different from the submitter's details)

021324272

Company:	Tekapo Springs
Contact Name:	
Email address*:	karl@tekaposprings.co.nz
Postal Address*:	P O Box 150 Lake Tekapo
Tick if postal address is preferred method of correspondence*:	
Phone number (Day):	021324272
Phone number (Mobile):	

Details of application being submitted on

etails of application being		
Applicant's name:	Queenstown Commercial	Parapentrs Ltd
RM reference:	TM30648	
Description of proposed activity:	Establish and Operate a comportion coruse and picnic facilities alo Tekapo.	mercial tree climb and ropes ngside Lake Side Drive in

ly submissi	on		
lease indicate	whether you su	pport, oppose or are neutral to	the application or specific parts of it (Tick):
Support	Oppose	☐ Neutral	
Ny submission Tree Climb A support the to Lake Teka	is (the particula activity. concept of a apo.	r parts of the application I supp	orings another compelling attraction
	ease indicate of Support  Submission  Tree Climb A  support the o Lake Teka	Support ■Oppose  It submission is (the particular  Free Climb Activity.  support the concept of a o Lake Tekapo.	ease indicate whether you support, oppose or are neutral to Support ©Oppose Neutral  Submission is (the particular parts of the application I support Climb Activity.  Support the concept of a Tree Climb activity which be

(Attach separate sheet as required)

The reasons for my submission (the reasons I support or oppose the particular parts of the application above):

Support for Tree Climb Business

I support new and innovative commercial business that will add to quality experiences for people to experience whilst in Lake Tekapo. Currently Lake Tekapo has a limited ammount of attrications fpor visitors comparitive to other tourist destinations.

Oppose Location for this new Tree Climb Business

I oppose the choosen location for this tree climb business for a number of reasons;

- \* The entire last kilometer of Lake Side Drive is utilised for car parking, boat parking and pedestrain access for the busy months of summer and winter. Trying to establish the tree climb business within this high use volume are would be extremely problematic form a pedestrain point of view (given the walk track goes through this are), car and boat parking, sitting and rrating area.
- \* Car Park space is already a major issue along Lakeside Drive. The tree area is used for car, boat / trailor and camper van parking throughout the day particualrly over the summer months when I imgaine the Tree Climb course would be busy. Where would the extra space for car parks be fpor the exisitng park users AND the new vehicles associated with using the Tree Climb?
- \* Safety. This area has a high volume of walkers and cars. To have people above at 3 and 10 meters with lots of vehicles and pedestrains poses some safety risks.

(Attach separate sheet as required)

My submission would be met by the Council making the following decision (give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought):

Alhtpough we are not direct competetitors of the Tree Climb proposal, the car parking issues we already face with many cars using our car parks at Tekapo Springs this would exascerbate this problem.

Having a Tree Climb busi9ness might in fact bring more people to my business and that is an obvious bebefit to us BUt again I feel the location is problematic.

(Attach separate sheet as required)

## **Declarations**

Please indicate whether or not you are Resource Management Act 1991 (tick):	
☐ I am a trade competitor	■ I am <u>not</u> a trade competitor
subject matter of the submission that	etition or the effects of trade competition (tion).
I am directly affected	☐ I am <u>not</u> directly affected
Please indicate whether or not you w you will only be notified of a hearing	ish to be heard at the hearing in support of your submission (note if you have indicated you wish to be heard) (tick):
☐ I wish to be heard	■ I do not wish to be heard
If others make a similar submission, I	will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing (tick):
<b>■</b> Yes	□ No
Please note ALL information provider  I understand	d in this submission will be made publicly available I understand
Signature of Submitter (or person au	thorised to sign on behalf of the submitter)*
Date	
<ul> <li>*If signing on behalf of a trus have signing authority.</li> </ul>	st or company, please provide additional written evidence that you
*A signature is not required	if you make your submission electronically.
Karl Burtscher	8/12/24
Signature of Submitter (or person authorised to sign on b	ehalf of the submitter)*

## Note to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environment Protection Authority, you should use form 16B. The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20<sup>th</sup> working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.