

Schedule of Decisions on Submissions & Further Submissions on Proposed Plan Change 13

Table of Contents

2. Whole Of Plan Submissions.....	1
3. Rural Issues 7 - Landscape Values.....	11
4. Objective 3A – Outstanding Landscapes	13
5. Policy 3A - Recognition of Mackenzie Basin	16
6. Policy 3B – Economy, Environment and Community	20
7. Policy 3C – Adverse effects of sporadic development.....	23
8. Policy 3D - Adverse Impacts of Buildings and Earthworks.....	26
9. Policy 3E – Limitations on Residential Subdivision and Housing.....	29
10. Policy 3F-Landscape Carrying Capacity.....	32
11. Policy 3G – Approved Building Nodes.....	36
12. Policy 3H – Extensions to Existing Identified Nodes	43
13. Policy 3I – Farm and non-residential buildings & Policy 3J –Remote Farm Buildings	46
14. Policy 3K - Lakeside areas	50
15. Policy 3L - Subdivision.....	55
16. Policy 3M – Manuka Terrace Rural- Residential Zone.....	57
17. Policy 3N - Design And Appearance Of Buildings.....	59
18. Policy 3O - Views From Roads.....	61
19. Implementation Matters.....	64
20. Objective 3B And Lakeside Protection Policy And Rules.....	68
20.1. <i>Objective 3B</i>	68
21.2. <i>Existing Policy 3A – Lakeside Landscapes</i>	72
21.3. <i>Deletion of Lakeside Protection Rule 3.1.1i</i>	74
22. Definitions	74
22.1. <i>Nodes</i>	74
22.2. <i>Remote Farm Accessory Buildings</i>	75
23. Rural Zone Rules – Mackenzie Basin Subzone - Buildings.....	76
23.1. <i>Farm Building Within Nodes – Permitted Activity</i>	77
23.2. <i>Rural 3.2.2 Remote Farm Accessory Buildings – Controlled Activity</i>	80
23.3. <i>Non-farm buildings within Nodes – Restricted Discretionary Activity</i>	83
23.4. <i>Rule 3.4.1. Discretionary Activity Buildings</i>	88
23.5. <i>Rule 3.5.5 Non-Complying Activities – Non-farm Buildings</i>	88
23.6. <i>Rule 3.5.6 Non-Complying Activities Farm Buildings</i>	89
24. Rural Zone Rules - Rule 4.2.2 Earthworks And Tracking- Controlled Activity.....	90

25. Rural Zone (Mackenzie Basin Subzone) - Building Nodes Rules	91
25.1. Rule 15.1.1.....	91
25.2. Rule 15.1.2.....	91
25.3 Rule 15.2.1 Non Complying Activities.....	91
26. Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone.....	91
26.1 Rule 3.3 Visitor Accommodation – Permitted Activity.....	91
26.2 Rule 4.1 Buildings – Controlled Activity.....	91
26.3 Rule 4.2 Earthworks – Controlled Activity.....	91
26.4 Rule 7.1 Forestry – Non-Complying	91
26.5 Rule 8.1 Prohibited Activities – Amenity Tree Planting.....	91
26.6 Rule 9.1 Residential Density – Site Standard.....	91
26.7 Rule 9.4 Building and Hard Surface Coverage – Site Standard.....	91
27. Subdivision.....	91
27.1. Controlled Activity Subdivision.....	91
27.2. Rule 4A Restricted Discretionary Activity Subdivision in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone	91
27.3. Discretionary Activities – Subdivision	91
27.4. Primary Standards for Subdivision – Water Supply.....	91
27.5. Primary Standards for Subdivision – Energy Supply and Telephone Systems	91
27.7. Subdivision Access Standard 7.b.x	91
27.8. Reserve Contributions – Residential Purposes	91
28. Transportation.....	91
28.1. Transport Rule 2.q.ii Minimum height of Private Accesses	91
28.2. Transport Rule 2.q.iii Number of lots served by right of way.....	91
29. Signs Rules 10.c	91
30. Mackenzie Basin Subzone.....	91
32. Appendix R – Capacity For New Nodes	91
33. Appendix S – Identified Building Nodes	91
34. Manuka Terrace Zone Map	91
35. New Nodes/Zoning.....	91
36. General Submissions	91
36.1. No Relief.....	91
36.2. Dairying.....	91
36.3. Right to build on existing lots.....	91
36.4. Other General Submissions.....	91
37. Twizel Submissions.....	91
38. Twizel Water Supply Protection Area.....	91

2. Whole Of Plan Submissions

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
2	Classic Properties Ltd	1	That Plan Change 13 is withdrawn.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
3	Andrew Simpson	1	That Plan Change 13 be discontinued and look at where the current Plan is not working and address those specific points.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
4	Tasman Downs Station	1	Withdraw Plan change	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
4	Tasman Downs Station	5	Alternatively to Submission 1: - Remove residential standards from Rural Zone	Accept in Part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
4	Tasman Downs Station	7	Alternatively to Submission 1: - Remove Subzone and apply the RMA correctly	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
5	Trustees Est. RH Simpson	1	Plan Change 13 be discontinued.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	1	Plan Change 13 be withdrawn in its entirety.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	Support	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Reject
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Support	Reject	
6	Braemar Station Ltd	18	Alternatively to submission 1: There is no need for a Mackenzie Basin Subzone in relation to subdivision, there should be same level of control throughout the rural zone.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Support	Reject
7	Helen Simpson	1	That Plan Change 13 be discontinued.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	Support	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	1	Withdraw Plan Change 13 in its entirety.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	3	That proposed plan Change 13 in its current format be withdrawn by Council and re-submitted once extensive consultation has been completed with Ruataniwha Farm and changes recommended in this submission have been included.	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	5	That the Plan Change documentation and Section 32 be redrafted so that the current anti-subdivision and development flavour is removed.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
12	Emily and Will Murray	1	Withdraw Plan Change 13 in its entirety.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
17	Glentanner Station Ltd	1	Opposes the plan change for varying reasons, but no specific request made.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
18	Dr Dugald McDonald	1	The Plan Change must be adopted by Council.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	1	Withdraw Plan Change 13.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	11	Alternatively to submission 1: All proposals to change or introduce rules, appendices and definitions that refer to a Mackenzie Basin Subzone or nodes (on rural residential or farming properties) be deleted and that existing relevant rules be retained.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
20	Federated Farmers – High Country Industry Group	3	That all proposals to change or introduce rules, appendices and definitions that refer to a Mackenzie Basin Subzone or nodes (on rural residential or farming properties) be deleted and that existing relevant rules be retained.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
20	Federated Farmers – High Country Industry Group	4	That all proposals to change or introduce objectives, policies, rules, appendices and definitions that refer to a Mackenzie Basin Subzone be deleted and that existing relevant rules be retained.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
21	Simons Pass Station Ltd and Pukaki Irrigation Co Ltd	1	That the Plan Change be withdrawn or rejected in its entirety	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
23	Simons Hill Station Ltd	1	The Plan Change is deficient in so many area that it should be withdrawn.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
26	Mt Gerald Station Limited	1	That Plan Change 13 is declined immediately.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
27	Fairlie Branch South Canterbury Federated Farmers of NZ	1	Without providing quantified information on reasons, we see no justification for changing the plan	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
27	Fairlie Branch South Canterbury Federated Farmers of NZ	2	That all proposals to change or introduce objectives, policies rules, appendices and definitions that refer to nodes on rural residential or farming properties be deleted and that existing relevant rules be retained.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
27	Fairlie Branch South Canterbury Federated Farmers of NZ	3	That all proposals to change or introduce objectives, policies rules, appendices and definitions that refer to a Mackenzie Basin Subzone be deleted and that existing relevant rules be retained.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
29	Rangi Ruru Rowing Parents	1	That PC13 be withdrawn for the reasons set out in this submission – this would enable Council and the Submitter to engage in consultation in relation to potential changes to plan provisions relating to the rural zone and land owned by the Submitter in particular.	Reject
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	1	That the Council make the appropriate amendments to the relevant parts of Plan Change 13 and District Plan to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
36	<i>Oskar & Karoline Reider</i>	<i>1</i>	Reject the proposal	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
37	<i>Christian Burtscher</i>	<i>1</i>	Reject the proposal.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ</i>	<i>1</i>	Withdraw Plan Change 13 and redo it. Replace it with a simple rule that requires any subdivision smaller than 50 hectares require a discretionary consent.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
40	<i>The Wolds Station Ltd</i>	<i>1</i>	Plan Change 13 should be withdrawn and rewritten. In the alternative that further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in the submission.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
41	H M & BR Murray	1	That PC 13 be withdrawn. In the alternative that further additional, amended or consequential changes to any relevant part of the District Plan as are considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in the submission.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
51	Bendrose Station	1	Oppose plan change 13 in its entirety	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	1	That Plan Change 13 be withdrawn.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	1	The general principle that future residential use and subdivision should follow the current land use pattern of the Basin is supported. It is of concern that the proposal to delete the Lakeside Protection Zone will not provide for adequate management of the Basin's lake margins and their amenity values. The removal of the Zone will require more stringent rules to ensure that occurs.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
60	Lone Star Farms Ltd	1	Withdrawal of PC 13 until further detailed analysis has been undertaken involving more comprehensive consultation with the land owners, occupiers and farm managers.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
61	Star Holdings Ltd	1	Withdrawal of PC 13 until further detailed analysis has been undertaken involving more comprehensive consultation with the land owners, occupiers and farm managers.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	1	The Branch/Society supports the Plan change, subject to amendments sought in our other submissions.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	1	Withdraw Proposed Plan Change 13; or accept the other decisions requested in submissions 2 to 8	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
66	Aoraki Trust Lands Ltd	1	MDC must abandon this Plan Change, and abide by the provisions of the RMA legislation, repropulgate a new approach and consult widely and wisely.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
68	Gillian Pollock	1	Retain Plan Change 13	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
79	Lana Hastie	3	I also agree with the keeping of the look of the Mackenzie Basin landscape and minimising the views of housing, planting and roading.	Accept in part
80	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	3	In the alternative to submissions 1 and 2, that the plan change be either withdrawn or cancelled.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
81	Mackenzie Lifestyle Limited	3	If submission points 1 and 2 are not accepted then in the alternative withdraw Plan Change 13.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	1	That PC13 be withdrawn or cancelled on the basis that the section 32 analysis is inadequate and the plan change fails to satisfy the threshold tests in section 32(3) of the RMA for the reason set out in sections 1-8 of the submission.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	2	In the alternative to submission point 1, that the relevant provision of the District Plan (as modified by PC13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission. Consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
84	Andrew Eccleshall	1	That PC13 be withdrawn and alternative methods for ensuring that the landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development be considered.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
87	Ethan Gabriel	1	That PC13 be withdrawn for the reasons set out in this submission – this would enable Council and the community to engage in consultation in relation to potential changes to plan provisions relating the rural zone.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
87	Ethan Gabriel	2	In the alternative to submission point 1, that the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes consequence of this submission.	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
88	South Island Rowing Inc.	1	That PC13 be withdrawn for the reasons set out in this submission – this would enable Council and the Submitter to engage in consultation in relation to potential changes to plan provisions relating to the rural zone and land owned by the Submitter in particular.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
90	Frank Hocken	1	Rescind Plan Change 13.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
95	David Scott	1	A reappraisal of the need for a Mackenzie Basin Subzone.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
95	David Scott	2	A reappraisal of the actions of Section 7 Rural Zone rules on potential agricultural development.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
97	S A & F M Bowers	1	We object to the implementation of plan change 13.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
98	Ross Carrick	1	That the outstanding landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin be protected from inappropriate subdivision, development and use to the greatest possible extent.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
101	EO & JE Sullivan	1	A review of the proposed plan change with a view to making it more workable, more sensible and more practical.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
106	Dean Smith	1	Support Plan Change 13 – and the idea of nodal development as a positive step.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
109	Jim & Anne Murray	1	That Plan Change 13 be discarded in its entirety and an economic impact analysis be done in conjunction with any future rural land change to the District Plan. Compliance costs must be fully researched before any plan changes are assessed to be necessary.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
112	Lake Tekapo Community Board	1	Lake Tekapo Community Boards supports need for the change and the recognition of the Mackenzie Basin as a whole as an area of “outstanding natural landscapes”.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
120	M Bakker-Gelsing	1	Do not amend Plan Change 13.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
121	<i>Valasay Properties Ltd</i>	<i>1</i>	Reject Plan Change 13	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
122	<i>Simon & Priscilla Cameron</i>	<i>1</i>	Withdraw Plan change 13.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
125	<i>Karen Simpson</i>	<i>2</i>	Remove Subzone.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
126	<i>Shaun Norman</i>	<i>1</i>	I support the proposed Plan Change.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
135	<i>Gottlieb & Anne Braun-Elwert</i>	<i>1</i>	Strongly support proposed plan change 13.	Accept in part

3. Rural Issues 7 - Landscape Values

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	<i>Braemar Station Ltd</i>	<i>2</i>	Alternatively to submission 1: Section 7 – Rural Issues, remove the statement about landscape values.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
20	Federated Farmers – High Country Industry Group	1	Delete the words “with the freeholding of former pastoral lease land, much of it at the lower altitudes” in the fifth sentence of the paragraph to be added to Rural Issue 7, and replace with the words “the removal of former pastoral lease land from the working landscape and returned to full Crown ownership” or similar.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
20	Federated Farmers – High Country Industry Group	2	Delete the final sentence of the paragraph to be added Rural Issue 7 – Landscape Values.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
22	New Zealand Defence Force	1	That the proposed change acknowledges the Tekapo Military Training Area (TMTA) is an established activity within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone. Amend Section 7 – Rural Issues to make reference to the TMTA as an existing activity within the proposed Mackenzie Basin Subzone and that any new development within this Subzone is located and designed so as to avoid the likelihood of reserve sensitivity effect on the TMTA.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	1	Support the addition to Rural Issue 7 - Landscape Values, but request that the last sentence of the paragraph to be added is deleted.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	1	<p>Include text in Rural Issues 7 – Landscape Values to recognise the presence of nationally significant utilities as a component part of rural landscape values. This could be achieved by adding new text along the following lines (additional text underlined):</p> <p><u><i>Existing and new development, other than rural lifestyle development, may also result in an increase in the level of modification in the landscape and in an associated reduction in naturalness. As an example, nationally significant electricity infrastructure can be found within the Mackenzie Basin including at Pukaki, Tekapo and Ohau. This will need to be maintained and upgraded, and new lines may, from time to time, be required. Striking a balance between the need for essential infrastructure and the desire to protect particular landscape values is an issue in this context.</i></u></p> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

4. Objective 3A – Outstanding Landscapes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	3	Alternatively to submission 1: Retain current Objective 3 and delete proposed Objective 3a, Policies 3a to 3d.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	2	Alternatively to submission 1: That proposed Objective 3A be withdrawn and current Rural Objective 3 be retained.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	3	Objective 3A – Delete word “natural”. Also delete word “overriding” in “Explanations and Reasons”.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	2	Alternative to submission 1: - Delete the Landscape Values Statement in Rural Issue 7.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
54	Guide Hill Station	3	Alternative to Submission 1: - Remove the word 'sustain from the objective and reword as per Section 6 of RMA: <i>“To recognise and provide for the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes within the district from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.”</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	2	Retain new Objective 3A	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	5	Amend objective 3A to read: <i>“To protect, sustain and enhance the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the District for present and future generations”.</i>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Oppose	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Oppose	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	Oppose	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	6	<p>Amend Rural Objective 3A and the accompanying <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck-out):</p> <p>Objective 3A</p> <p>To protect and sustain the outstanding natural landscapes and features of the District <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development</u>, for present and future generations</p> <p>Explanations and Reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act requires the Council to recognise and provide for the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes within its District from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development as a matter of national importance. The District Plan recognises that the landscape is modified in some areas and two modified and two artificial lakes exist for the purposes of hydro-electricity generation. The hydro canals, lake outlet structures, and lake margin draw down pattern, contribute to the landscape characteristic of the Basin. As such, the District Plan recognises that other matters of national significance exist within the District, such as hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations. These are recognised and provided for in Section 15 Utilities and in policies within the Rural Section. It is generally appropriate that development, particularly in the high country and Mackenzie Basin, has regard to the wider visual and landscape considerations that are important to the well-being of the District, its residents and visitors. <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	12	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Reword proposed Objective 3A to read: <p><i>“To protect and <u>enhance</u> the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the Mackenzie District, and the natural processes and elements which contribute to the District’s overall character and amenity.”</i></p> Reword the Explanation and Reasons to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to Objective 2, Policy 2A and 2B, Objective 4, Policy 4B and Implementation Methods, and Objective 6 and Policy 6A. Reference to the requirement of section 7 of the Resource Management Act 1991 to have particular regard to the maintenance and enhancement of amenity values and the intrinsic values of ecosystems. An additional matter noting that <i>“The natural character of the margins of lakes, rivers and wetlands play an important role in sustaining the values of natural features and landscapes within the District”</i>. <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	2	<p>Amend Objective 3A – Outstanding Landscapes to clarify that protection in this policy context means protection from <i>inappropriate</i> subdivision, use and development rather than protection from subdivision, use and development per se. This could be achieved by adding text along the following lines (additional text underlined):</p> <p><i>To protect and sustain the outstanding natural landscapes and features of the District <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development</u> and for the <u>benefit of present and future generations. Appropriate development will sustain the values associated with the Mackenzie Basin and will not reduce the primacy of natural elements and features within Outstanding Natural Landscapes.</u></i></p> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Reject

5. Policy 3A - Recognition of Mackenzie Basin

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	3	<p>Alternatively to submission 1:</p> <p>Should policy 3A be retained, the word ‘natural’ be deleted and replaced with ‘working’; and the words “through the Mackenzie Basin Subzone within the Rural Zone” be deleted..</p>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	4	<p>Policy 3A – Delete word “natural”. The subsequent explanation correctly describes the area as “modified and managed land surface”.</p>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	2	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend Policy 3A so it reads: <i>To recognise the Mackenzie Basin as an outstanding working landscape within the Rural Zone, and within the most outstanding natural parts to protect the Basin from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Reject
39	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Support	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	3	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete the last two bullet points from the Explanation and Reasons of Policy 3A.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	Support	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
54	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Support	Reject
	Guide Hill Station	4	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3A.	Reject
54	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
	62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	3	New policies to support Objective 3 are supported.
<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>		F10	Oppose	Accept in part
<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>		F21	Oppose	Accept in part
<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>		F39	Oppose	Accept in part
<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>		F80	Oppose	Accept in part
<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>		F81	Oppose	Accept in part
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>		F83	Oppose	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	4	Establishing a new Mackenzie Basin Subzone is supported.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	6	Policy 3A is supported	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	7	Amend Policy 3A as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck-out): <i>Policy 3A – Recognition of Mackenzie Basin</i> <i>To recognise the <u>outstanding natural landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin</u> as an outstanding natural landscape and through the Mackenzie Basin Subzone within the Rural Zone, to protect the <u>outstanding natural landscapes of the Basin</u> from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	8	Amend bullet point 3 of the <i>Explanation and Reasons</i> as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck-out): <i>The assessment report acknowledges that <u>Not</u> all areas within the Mackenzie Basin are outstanding. However for the purposes ...</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	9	<p>Meridian seeks the inclusion of assessment matters to assist the Council in its assessment of what constitutes ‘appropriate’ (or inappropriate) development via the inclusion of a new policy (after Policy 3A) as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 3A(X) – Appropriate Use</u></p> <p><u>When considering whether subdivision, use or development in outstanding natural landscapes is appropriate in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone the Council shall, without limitation, have regard to the following:</u></p> <p>i <u>The degree of any local, regional and national benefits and any positive effects.</u></p> <p>ii <u>The scale of any adverse effects</u></p> <p>iii <u>Effects on renewable energy generation and transmission</u></p> <p>iv <u>Compatibility with existing land uses</u></p> <p>v <u>Consistency with existing land forms.</u></p> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	6	<p>Amend the Explanation and Reasons for Proposed Policy 3A to include:</p> <p>(a) In the second bullet point, the statement that <u>“substantial areas of the Mackenzie Basin are public estate, and particularly those that provide the most dramatic landscape features (i.e. lake surfaces and edges, Mount Cook/Aoraki National Park, the Southern Alps backdrop, and extensive areas of Crown land and conservation estate)”</u></p> <p>(b) In the last sentence in the second bullet point, include reference to scale, natural character and legible geomorphology.</p> <p>(c) In the third bullet point, delete the reference to “The assessment report”.</p> <p>(d) Reword the fourth bullet point to read: <u>“The uniqueness of the Mackenzie Basin, with its naturalistic appearance, legible geomorphology, natural and cultural heritage, extensive and dramatic vistas from mountain tops to valley floors, and lack of apparent “clutter” is to be protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.”</u></p> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.</p>	Accept in part
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	F11	Oppose	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	Meridian Energy Ltd	F70	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	3	Amend the 'Explanation and Reasons' to Policy 3A to recognise that the landscape values of the Mackenzie Basin result from physical factors as well as cultural and natural factors. This could be achieved by amending the last sentence in the second Bullet Point to read along the following lines (additional text underlined, deleted text in strikethrough): <p style="text-align: center;"><i>... The landscape values of the Mackenzie Basin thus result from cultural factors such as land use, social pattern and identity <u>and from physical factors such as built structures including houses, roads, transmission lines power generation structures</u> as well as from natural factors such as ecology, climate and topography-</i></p> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Reject
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	5	Amend the 'Explanation and Reasons' to Policy 3A to recognise that natural and physical resources will not be sustainably managed unless the protection of the District's natural resources is balanced against the appropriate development of physical resources. This could be achieved by amending the last sentence in the fourth Bullet Point to read along the following lines (additional text underlined, deleted text in strikethrough): <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sustainable management of natural and physical resources will not be sustained unless the protection integrity of the values associated with the Mackenzie Basin District's natural resources, including the visual and landscape qualities of these <u>this</u> resources, can be assured.</i></p> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Reject
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	6	Amend Policy 3A by including the words 'legible geomorphology' as an important component of the naturalistic appearance.	Accept
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Reject
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Reject
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Reject
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Reject

6. Policy 3B – Economy, Environment and Community

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	4	Alternatively to submission 1: We agree with the idea of Policy 3B, but it needs to be flexible to allow for change.	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	4	Alternatively to submission 1: Should policy 3B be retained, that it be retained as is but with reference to a working landscape	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	4	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend Policy 3B so it reads: <i>To encourage a healthy productive economy, environment and community within and maintain the identity of, the Mackenzie Country as a <u>working landscape with the flexibility to change with changing circumstances.</u></i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	5	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend the last bullet point of the Explanation Reasons for Policy 3B by deleting the words “and safeguarding the environment from adverse effects.” and replace with “ <u>and avoiding or mitigating more than minor adverse effects on the environment</u> ”.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	5	Opposed to Policy 3B – but no specific request.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	7	Amend Policy 3B to read: <i>“To encourage an appropriate healthy economy, environment and community and maintain the identify of the Mackenzie Country, <u>subject to maintaining and enhancing the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the area.</u>”</i>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	2	Policy 3B is supported.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	2	Delete Policy 3B and replace with the following: <i><u>To recognise that landscapes will change over time as part of achieving a healthy productive economy, environment and community and to allow such changes, provided that they maintain the core landscape values and identity of the Mackenzie Country.</u></i> Consequential amendments may also be necessary to the associated Explanations and Reasons and Implementation Methods.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	10	The inclusion of a new Policy, after Policy 3B, as follows: <u>Policy 3 (X) Renewable Energy</u> <i>To recognize and provide for the use and development of renewable energy generation and transmission infrastructure and operations while, as far as practicable, avoiding, remedying or mitigating significant adverse effects on the outstanding natural landscapes and features of the Mackenzie Basin.</i> <u>Explanations and Reasons</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The RMA specifies, amongst other matters, that particular regard must be given to the benefits to be derived from the use and development of renewable energy. Utilities of national significance are found within the Mackenzie District. These have distinctive and varied characteristics. Key infrastructure includes the Pukaki High Dam, Tekapo A and B, the Ohau Power Stations, and part of Lake Benmore (which was created specifically for hydro-electricity generation purposes).</i> <i>There is also a clear recognition by Government of both the importance of the use and development of renewable energy and the need to address climate change. Recognising and providing for this type of development in the District Plan goes some way to contributing to New Zealand renewable energy targets.</i> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	6	Retain without modification Policy 3B and its Explanation and Reasons	Accept in part
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	10	Alternatively to submission 1: - Policy 3B –Add:” “Working landscape with the ability to change in time to suit circumstances.”	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

7. Policy 3C – Adverse effects of sporadic development

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	13	Alternatively to submission 1: Should policy 3C be retained, that it be retained as is but with reference to a working landscape	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
22	New Zealand Defence Force	3	Amend the Explanation to Policy 3C so that it acknowledges the TMTA within the proposed Mackenzie Basin Subzone. Suggested wording is: <i>i) <u>Reverse sensitivity effects arising from new subdivision and development that are incompatible or sensitive to the existing activities undertaken within the Tekapo Military Training Area.</u></i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	6	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend Policy 3C to read: <i>To avoid <u>or mitigate more than minor</u> adverse effects on the environment of sporadic development and subdivision</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	7	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete points (e), (g) and (h) from the Explanation and Reasons for Policy 3C.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
54	Guide Hill Station	6	Opposed to Policy 3C – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
58	Department of Conservation	3	Delete Policy 3C and replace with a new policy (or alternative wording of like effect): <i><u>To only provide for residential subdivision and housing development within identified urban areas of the Basin (Twizel and Lake Tekapo) and within identified or approved building nodes to avoid the adverse effects on the environment of sporadic development and subdivision.</u></i>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	8	Amend Policy 3C to read: <i>“To avoid the adverse effects on the environment of sporadic and <u>inappropriate</u> development and subdivision.”</i>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept	
70	Meridian Energy Limited	1	Amend Policy 3C – Explanations and Reasons as follows (addition underlined): <i>Adverse effects which are of concern within the Mackenzie Basin include:...</i> <i>j. <u>Effects of subdivision and residential development on water resources, including quantity and reliability of supply for existing users.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support/Oppose</i>	Accept in part	

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	11	<p>Retain Policy 3C and the <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> with the following amendments (additions underlined):</p> <p><i>Policy 3C – Adverse Effects of Sporadic Development.</i> <i>To avoid the adverse effects on the environment of sporadic <u>residential</u> development and subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>Explanations and Reasons</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Subdivision creates separate legal entities each having a bundle of rights and set of landowner expectations ...</i> <p><i>Adverse effects which are of concern within the Mackenzie Basin include:</i></p> <p>a. ...</p> <p><i><u>I Reverse sensitivity effects on utilities of national significance.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>ii Impacts on the availability of water to existing lawful users resulting from increased demand for water for residential development.</u></i></p> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	7	<p>In the ‘Explanation and Reasons’ of Policy 3C, recognise the impacts of sporadic subdivision and development on infrastructure as an adverse effect of concern within the Mackenzie Basin. This could be achieved by adding a new matter to the list of adverse effects which are of concern within the Mackenzie Basin as follows (additional text underlined):</p> <p><i><u>[x] Impacts on the provision of and/or the safe and efficient operation of existing infrastructure.</u></i></p> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Accept in part

8. Policy 3D - Adverse Impacts of Buildings and Earthworks

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	5	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3D should be withdrawn;	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Reject
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Reject
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Reject
	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	F62	Oppose	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	8	<p>Alternative to Submission 1: Amend Policy 3D to read:</p> <p><i>To avoid adverse impacts on the outstanding natural landscape and features of <u>parts of</u> the Mackenzie Basin, in particular from buildings <u>for residential or tourist accommodation and their access roads.</u></i></p>	Reject
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Reject
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Reject
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	9	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend the Explanation and Reasons for Policy 3D by: - deleting the second sentence of the first bullet point; and - deleting the last bullet point.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
54	Guide Hill Station	7	Opposed to Policy 3D – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	9	Intent of Policy 3D is supported. However the placement of large cargo storage containers on properties is becoming an eyesore around the country. Please control the length of time such containers and their numbers are permitted to remain on one property.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	3	That the fourth bullet point of the Explanation and Reasons to Policy 3D is deleted.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
71	Meridian Energy Limited	12	Amend Policy 3D to clarify its intent, as follows (additions underlined): <i>Policy 3D – Adverse impacts of Buildings and Earthworks</i> <i>To avoid adverse impacts on the outstanding natural landscape and features of the Mackenzie Basin, in particular from residential and intensive farming activities including associated buildings, domestication, structures, earthworks, tracks and roads.</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Reject</i>
74	Canterbury Regional Council	7	Amend proposed Policy 3D to read as follows: <i>“To avoid adverse effects on the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin, in particular from buildings, domestication, structures, land use intensification, earthworks, wilding tree spread, tracks and roads.”</i> Amend the Explanation and Reasons by including: 1. Reference to <u>land use change</u> in the first bullet point, to read “ <i>Domestication and land use change...</i> ”, and concluding with the words “ <i>...and cause loss of landscape integrity</i> ”. 2. Reference to <u>disruption to landscape patterns</u> before the word “ <i>infilling</i> ” in the second bullet point. 3. Reference to <u>land use intensification</u> following the words “ <i>Rural lifestyle subdivisions</i> ” in the third bullet point, and concluding this statement with the words “ <i>...and changing the context and character</i> ”. 4. Reference to “ <i>...and naturalness</i> ” at the end of the fifth bullet point. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Accept</i>

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8	Amend Policy 3D to clearly refer to residential buildings and to earthworks, [noting that farm and non-residential buildings are addressed in Policy 3I]. This could be achieved by shifting the fourth bullet point to the ‘Explanation and Reasons’ associated with Policy 3I and by adding text along the following lines to the explanation and reasons associated with Policy 3D (additional text underlined, deleted text in strikethrough): <i>To avoid adverse impacts on the outstanding natural landscapes and features of the Mackenzie Basin, in particular from <u>residential buildings and associated structures</u>, domestication, structures, earthworks, tracks and roads.</i> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	8	Add landuse intensification and wilding tree spread to Policy 3D.	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Oppose	Accept
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept
130	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept
	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	9	In the Explanation and Reasons for Policy 3D, add the words “disrupting landuse patterns” after the words ‘infilling empty ...’	Reject
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Oppose	Accept
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept
Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept	

9. Policy 3E – Limitations on Residential Subdivision and Housing

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	14	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3E should be withdrawn;	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Reject
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Reject
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Reject
	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	F62	Oppose	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	10	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Policy 3E and its Explanations and Reasons.	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	Support	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	Support	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	Support	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	Oppose	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Support	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	8	Opposed to Policy 3E – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Support	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Support	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	Oppose	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	12	Delete Policy 3E and replace with a new policy (or alternative wording of like effect): <i><u>To only provide for residential subdivision and housing development within identified urban areas of the Basin (Twizel and Lake Tekapo) and within identified or approved building nodes to avoid the adverse effects on the environment of sporadic development and subdivision.</u></i>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Support	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Support	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	10	Support the confinement of subdivision and development to Twizel and Lake Tekapo. Not prepared to give full endorsement to residential nodes or subdivision and development within them. Agree that all nodes should be identified especially with regards to location and size.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	Oppose	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	3	Amend Policy 3E as follows: <i>To only provide for residential subdivision and housing development within identified urban areas of the Basin(Twizel and Lake Tekapo) and within identified or approved buildings nodes, <u>except where a dwelling is to be erected on an existing vacant rural allotment and is appropriately sited and designed so as to maintain the outstanding natural landscape and features of the receiving environment.</u></i> Consequential amendments may also be necessary to the associated Explanations and Reasons and Implementation Methods.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
70	Meridian Energy Limited	2	Amend Policy 3E – Explanations and Reasons by including a new bullet point after (g), as follows: <i><u>The effects of this development must also be taken into consideration, in particular demands on natural and physical resources arising from further residential subdivision, including water supply.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support/Oppose</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	15	Amend Policy 3E so that the relationship between Policy 3E and proposed Appendix R is clear as follows: <i>Policy 3E – Limitations on Residential Subdivision and Housing</i> <i>To only provide for residential subdivision and housing development within identified urban areas of the Basin (Twizel and Lake Tekapo) and within identified or approved building nodes <u>identified in Appendix R of this District Plan.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	16	Amend the <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> to Policy 3E as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>It is desirable that the majority of housing and accommodation growth within the Mackenzie Basin occurs within the towns of Twizel and Lake Tekapo to:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a.... <i>f <u>Minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
73	Coldwater Group	3	Opposed to 200ha minimum lot size with no right to build	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
114	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	1	That building and subdivision in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone not be limited to existing or approved building nodes.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	9	Alternatively to submission 1: - Delete Policy 3E.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

10. Policy 3F-Landscape Carrying Capacity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	5	Alternatively to submission 1: Agree with policy 3F, but should remove whole section on Graham Densem's report as it is only one opinion.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	15	Alternatively to submission 1 Policy 3F should be withdrawn and rewritten to make its intent more clear and re-notified.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	5	Delete Policy 3F. "Landscape Carrying Capacity" is an inappropriate concept in the Mackenzie Basin.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	11	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete the second bullet point of the Explanation and Reasons for Policy 3F.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	12	Alternative to Submission 1: Agree with Policy 3F – the Mackenzie allows areas for broad development without impact on visual landscape.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
54	Guide Hill Station	9	Opposed to Policy 3F – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	11	Support intent of policy, but ask that the focus be on protecting the ‘outstanding natural features and landscapes’ from inappropriate subdivision use and development.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	17	Amend the title of Policy 3F and the Policy itself, to better reflect the intent / aims of the Policy as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): <i>Policy 3F – Landscape Carrying Capacity</i> <i>To recognize the diversity of physical settings and landscapes within the Mackenzie Basin and the varying capacity of these to absorb <u>further subdivision and residential buildings</u> built development.</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	18	Amend the <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> to reflect the full suite of landscape characteristics and values identified in the Densem Report, including hydro-electricity, and to properly align with the context of the Densem Report, as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The 2007 report “The Mackenzie Basin Landscape: character and capacity” by Graham Densem which assessed the Mackenzie Basin landscape identifies various landscape character areas and sub-areas and describes their characteristics and values.... This is achieved through classification of areas as having high, medium or low vulnerability to absorb residential subdivision and development... Beyond these thresholds, it is considered that the cumulative impact of residential subdivision and development in these nodes, and any additional building nodes, will adversely impact on the landscape character, <u>and landscape value, and physical attributes</u> of these sub-areas and the wider landscape...</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	13	Delete Policy 3F and its associated Explanations and Reasons. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9	<p>Recognise in Policy 3F that the 2007 Report “<i>The Mackenzie Basin Landscape: character and capacity</i>” by Graham Densem is focused on rural residential development (nodes) and does not specifically recognise the transmission infrastructure that traverses the Basin. This could be achieved by adding text along the following text to the end of the second bullet point (additional text underlined):</p> <p><u><i>It is acknowledged that the Report does not specifically recognise the transmission infrastructure that traverses the Basin and that the capacity of the landscape to accommodate the new nodes largely assumes rural residential type activity. The maintenance and upgrading of existing nationally significant infrastructure in outstanding landscape areas and areas of high landscape value will generally be allowed. Development of new infrastructure will generally only be allowed where significant effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated and/or where traversing the area is necessary to achieve a better overall environmental route for an infrastructure corridor and/or where the infrastructure is subject to a functional constraint or operational imperative.</i></u></p> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

11. Policy 3G – Approved Building Nodes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	6	Alternatively to submission 1: Disagree with whole nodal concept, in particular: point 2 – building within an area of trees is impractical and this would restrict views point 3 – should be building requirements not node requirements point 4 – needs to be deleted as far too restrictive point 5 – delete point 6 – delete point 7 – delete point 8 – if landscape able to absorb 2 adjacent nodes , no need to impose distance points 9-12 – agree points 13,14 – either deleted or have qualification added point 15 – no need for definite number, as should depend on landscape’s ability to absorb points 16,17 – already in plan and night sky is not part of landscape point 18 – most natives don’t flourish and all conifers have ability to spread points 19,20 – delete point 21 – unsure of meaning of this point 22 – agree	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	6	Alternatively to submission 1: Withdraw policy 3G	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
22	New Zealand Defence Force	4	Add the following to Policy 3G, so that it acknowledges the TMTA within the proposed Mackenzie Basin Subzone: <i>New building nodes will only be granted as “approved building nodes where Council is satisfied that:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>The location and use of the node, particularly any residential use of the node, will not have the potential to create reverse sensitivity impacts on the existing Tekapo Military Training Area.</i> 	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Support	Reject
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	6	Policy 3G. Requirement number 2. Insert the word “reasonably” in front of “inconspicuous”. Delete the words “and from waters”.	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part	
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	7	Policy 3G Requirement 8. Replace the word “several” with “at least 2 kilometres”.	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part	
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	8	Policy 3G Requirement 15. Delete.	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Reject
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept
Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept	
33	Transit New Zealand	1	Adopt Plan Change 13, except as amended below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend criterion 11 listed under Policy 3G by inserting the words “and the state highway.” 2. The addition of a new criterion 23 to Policy 3G which reads: <i>“That only one access is provided to new nodes off the State Highway.”</i> 	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	13	<p>Alternative to Submission 1:</p> <p>Amend Policy 3G by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deleting any and all reference to the Densem' report; - Deleting the words 'from outside the node' in the second sentence of point 2, and replacing with "from publicly accessible areas"; - Replace the distance of '2 kilometres' in the last sentence of point 2, with "500m"; - Delete the words ' with particular regard to...' through to the end of point 3; - Delete points 4, 5, 6 and 7; - Replace the words 'several kilometres, both within properties and between neighbouring properties to retain a sense of isolation' from point 8 and replace with "the ability of the landscape to absorb the nodes"; - Points 9, 10, 11 and 12 are agreed to; - Amend point 13 by adding the words "or mitigate more than minor" before the words 'adverse visual or environmental impact.'; - Delete points 14 and 15; - Amend point 16 so it reads "The node <u>will not have a significant impact on the landscape when seen from key views up Lake Tekapo and Lake Pukaki during the daytime and will not be obtrusive when viewed at night.</u>"; - Delete point 17; - Delete words 'non-wilding prone' from point 18, and add the words "Pinus contorata, P. mugo and P. sylvestris not allowed to the end; - Delete points 19 and 20; and - the meaning of point 21 is unclear. 	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part
	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	F62	Oppose	Accept in part
	Coldwater Developments Limited	F73	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part
45	Mrs Marion Seymour	2	Delete policy 3G.	Accept in part
54	Guide Hill Station	10	<p>Alternative to Submission 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete Policy 3G. 	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	NZ Defence Force	F22	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Meridian Energy Ltd	F70	Oppose	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
58	Department of Conservation	4	Amend the Explanations and Reasons of Policy 3G as by adding the following (or words to like effect): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u><i>In the context of the outstanding landscape values of the MacKenzie Basin there maybe some room for further limited development within areas identified, and at the scale indicated in Appendix R. The existing outstanding natural features and landscape will make further development within these areas generally inappropriate. However there maybe sites providing they comply with Policy G and other relevant Objectives, Policies and Rules in the Plan where building nodes maybe appropriate</i></u> 	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Reject
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Reject
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	12	Intention of policy 3G is supported, as list the items that Council will consider. Except number 7 – there is not distance specified restricted building from the lake edge, with the removal of rule 3.1.1.f, this is a concern.	Accept in part
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept in part
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	4	Amend Policy 3G so that the issues listed are for consideration upon an application for an ‘approved building node’ but not standards which need to be satisfied.	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Meridian Energy Ltd	F70	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	2	Amend the first sentence of Policy 3G as follows (addition underlined): <p><i>New building nodes <u>for residential subdivision and development</u> will only be granted as “approved building nodes” where the Council is satisfied that: ...</i></p> <p>Any necessary consequential amendments to Appendices R and S. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	22	Expansion of the list of matters of concern in Policy 3G as follows (additions underlined): <i>New building nodes for residential subdivision and development will only be granted as “approved building nodes” where the Council is satisfied that:</i> ... 19. <u>The node will not have adverse effects on water quality arising from run-off during construction.</u> 20. <u>There is appropriate provision for the ongoing effectiveness of stormwater management on the site.</u> 21. <u>The actual and potential adverse effects that may arise from the node (including potential reverse sensitivity effects), on existing hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
72	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	1	Policy 3G – 17. the night sky. – Establish strict guidelines to implement.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
73	Coldwater Group	2	That in terms of the Policy 3G, a detailed local assessment needs to be completed and made transparent to allow further review and comment. Assigning a particular number of nodes to particular properties on a district wide basis does not take into account specific site features.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
74	Canterbury Regional Council	14	<p>Delete proposed Policy 3G, or amend proposed Policy 3G to read:</p> <p><i><u>“To strictly control the establishment of Approved Building Nodes to ensure that the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin are protected and enhanced, and to ensure that any such development is sustainable.”</u></i></p> <p>Incorporate the matters listed as (Approved Building Nodes) 1 – 22 into an Appendix to the District Plan as matters to which applicants should pay particular attention in seeking to establish new nodes (Approved Building Nodes), and amend the wording of these as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 <i>The buildings and structures and associated <u>activities, earthworks, roading and boundary developments will be visually inconspicuous, fit into the landscape, and not detract from the landscape characteristics and values of the Mackenzie Basin (refer.....), including naturalness, legibility, and heritage considerations.</u></i> 2 A further point is requested to recognise that some trees used to screen development (planted or natural) may include wilding-prone species that are undesirable. In these cases, a measure should be included that requires some description of a regime to remove and control wilding trees and wilding source trees, and to replace these with non-pest or wilding-prone plantings. 6 <i>The node is located such that the topography makes it reasonably difficult to see from roads and areas where there is public access.</i> 7 <i>The node is located away from the shoreline of any lake such that it will be reasonably difficult to see due to <u>topography</u> from the lake or from along the lake margins.</i> 10 <i>The node is not within a Scenic Viewing Area, Site of Natural Significance, Lakeside Protection Area, or above 900 metres above mean sea level.</i> 14 <i>All access roads are sited to follow landscape “changes” such as gullies and changes of slope, to avoid crossing landscape “surfaces”, to be unobtrusive, and designed to retain “farm” character.</i> 15 <i>The node identifies and provides for a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 10 building platforms in locations that ensure the buildings will be reasonably difficult to see from public places.</i> 16 <i>The node will not be able to be seen <u>when viewed up, down and across lakes</u> during the daytime, and will be reasonably difficult to see when viewed at night.</i> 19 Delete this provision, and replace it with <i><u>“The node will be of a size that is as small as will allow for clustering of buildings while avoiding dispersed development to ensure containment of the node”.</u></i> 20 <i>The node and its associated level of domestication will not result in an adverse incremental or cumulative impact on the features, landscape <u>and amenity</u> values, and character of the local area in which it is proposed to be located.</i> 21 <i>The establishment of the node and its use avoids, remedies or mitigates any adverse effects of natural hazards <u>and of plant pests.</u></i> 22 Amend the second bullet point to refer to <u>avoiding, remedying or mitigating</u> environmental effects. <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	10	Retain without modification point (11) in Policy 3G.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	10	Amend 3G point 2 so that pest plants do not qualify to absorb development	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	11	Amend point 6 of Policy 3G so that it reads: “The node is located that the topography makes it difficult to be seen from public roads.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	12	Add the words “avoids, remedies, or ...” to point 22 of Policy 3G	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
133	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Southern Regional Office) (*)	1	That the following assessment matters are included in Policy 3G Approved Building Nodes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “- An archaeological assessment is undertaken by a professional qualified archaeologist to inform the archaeological values identified in the area of the proposed building node; - Additional, if the proposed building node area includes the following heritage items, consultation and approval from NZHPT is required: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - heritage items listed in Section 10 – Heritage Protection; - areas of cultural value identified ion Section 4 – Takata whenua; - a building or site that dates pre-1900; - items identified in NZHPT Register of historic places, historic areas, wahi tapu and wai tapu areas; - archaeological sites identified on the NZ Archaeological Association database, if so an archaeological assessment is required; or - areas of cultural significance identified in a cultural values report or by takata whenua.” 	Reject
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Oppose	Accept
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Oppose	Accept
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept
135	Gottlieb & Anne Braun-Elwert	5	Endorse PC 13 with following qualifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Policy 3G#2 should be changed so that it is not an open invitation to landowners to allow wilding trees to spread in order to provide a node location. 	Reject

12. Policy 3H – Extensions to Existing Identified Nodes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	7	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3H – 10% is too restrictive and does not allow for sufficient expansion in the future	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	NZ Defence Force	F22	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part
	Coldwater Developments Limited	F73	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	16	Alternatively to submission 1: Withdraw policy 3H.	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	9	Policy 3H. Delete words following “other than items 8 and 13”.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	14	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend Policy 3H by adding the word “ <u>and taking into account the need for buffering between farm buildings and farm dwellings and non-farming residential buildings.</u> ” At the end.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	11	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3H.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	13	Seek that any application to extend an existing identified node should be for a non-complying and should be publicly notified.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	5	Amend Policy 3H so that the issues listed in Policy 3G excluding items 8 and 13 are matters for consideration only upon an application to extend an existing node.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
70	Meridian Energy Limited	3	Amend Policy 3H – as follows (additions underlined): <i>Policy 3H – Extensions to Existing Identified Nodes</i> <i>Extensions to existing identified building nodes will only be granted where the Council is satisfied that all the matters listed above in Policy 3G are satisfied other than items 8 and 13, and that there is no longer sufficient land available within the identified node for the operational requirements of the property <u>and that a sustainable domestic water supply is available.</u></i> <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> <i>“Sustainable domestic water supply” will be determined by the applicant providing an <u>environmental impact assessment on this matter.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support/Oppose</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	3	Amend Policy 3H as follows: <i>Extensions to existing identified building nodes <u>for residential subdivision and development</u> will only be granted where ...</i> Any necessary consequential amendments to Appendices R and S. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	19	Include a new Policy (after Policy 3H) to recognise the potential effects of subdivision and development on hydro-electricity values, as follows: <i>Policy 3H(X)</i> <i><u>When considering new subdivision and residential development regard shall be given to avoiding reverse sensitivity effects from incompatible and potential sensitive land uses on hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept in part
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
74	Canterbury Regional Council	15	Amend Policy 3H to read: <i><u>“The establishment of Approved Building Nodes or the extension (by up to 10% in total) of Existing Identified Nodes will only be granted where the Council is satisfied that the outstanding natural features and landscapes of the Mackenzie Basin are protected and enhanced, and that the proposed development is sustainable.”</u></i> As a consequential amendment, the Rules controlling the establishment of new nodes and the extension to existing nodes should be amended to make this activity a Non Complying Activity, and to ensure that any extension (of size and activity) can only occur up to a level of 10% above the original size. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept
	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	F11	Oppose	Accept
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept

13. Policy 3I – Farm and non-residential buildings & Policy 3J –Remote Farm Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	8	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3I is too restrictive on farming operations, there is no immediate threat from these types of buildings compared to subdivisions. The existing rules are fine to cover these types of buildings.	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Accept in part
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Accept in part
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Accept in part
	Coldwater Developments Limited	F73	Support	Accept in part
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Accept in part
6	Braemar Station Ltd	9	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3J overlaps with Policy 3I – they should remain a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Accept in part
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	17	Alternatively to submission 1: Withdraw policy 3I.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	18	Alternatively to submission 1: Withdraw policy 3J.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	10	Policy 3J. Remote Farm Buildings. Delete reference to "location".	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	15	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Policy 3I. If retained then all reference to "farm " buildings should be deleted and replaced with 'non-residential'.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	16	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Policy 3J. If retained then all reference to “farm ” buildings should be deleted and replaced with ‘non-residential’.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	12	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3I.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	13	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3J.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	16	Intent of Policy 3I is supported, but request that the height of any such buildings be limited to 7m, and all such building conform to colour, reflectivity and other requirements as set out under Policy 3G. Visitor accommodation and associated facilities need to be within an approved node.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	17	Question need for provision for remote farm buildings in Policy 3J. If this policy is approved, then such buildings must be subject to location, design and external appearance controls, including a height limit of 7m.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	6	In terms of Policy 3J – this is overly restrictive. We request a schedule listing an approved range of colours and materials be included in the Plan.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	4	Amend Policy 3I as follows: <i>Farm and other non-residential buildings, other than farm buildings <u>or hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure</u> that require a remote <u>or specific</u> location, are required to locate within identified or approved building nodes.</i> Any necessary consequential amendments to Appendices R and S. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	23	Amend Policy 3I and the associated <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> as follows (additions underlined, see also request 4): <u>Policy 3I – Farm and Non-residential Buildings</u> <i>Farm and other non-residential buildings, other than farm buildings <u>or hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure</u> that require a remote <u>or specific</u> location, are required to locate within identified or approved building nodes.</i> <u>Explanations and Reasons</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>As for Objective 3A</i> • ... • <i>While the nodes seek to control the location of residential subdivision and development, for the avoidance of doubt it is noted that the District Plan <u>recognizes that utilities of national significance are located in the District and the Council recognises that due to technical, operational and security requirements associated with electricity generation and transmission these activities may need to locate within remote or specific locations.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
73	Coldwater Group	4	In terms of Policy 3I – there is no indication of where these nodes are proposed, this policy has significant limitation on the rights of owners to run and operate their land.	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
73	Coldwater Group	5	In terms of Policy 3J –what is the assessment criteria proposed? Should be discretionary not non-complying.	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	11	Amend Policy 3I to recognise that nationally significant infrastructure is not required to locate within identified or approved building nodes. This could be achieved by making amendments along the following lines (additional text underlined): <i>Farm and other non residential buildings, other than farm buildings that require a remote location <u>and nationally significant infrastructure which has functional and/or operational locational requirements</u>, are required to locate within identified or approved building nodes.</i> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	12	Add a bullet point to the ‘Explanation and Reasons’ in Policy 3I explaining how nationally significant infrastructure is dealt with in the Plan. This could be achieved by adding text along the following lines (additional text underlined): ○ <i><u>Some nationally significant infrastructure is already located within the Mackenzie Basin and new infrastructure may also need to locate within this area. Minor upgrading activities that do not generate significant adverse effects will be permitted through Section 15 (Utilities) of the Plan. Other upgrading or duplicating of existing infrastructure within this area will require resource consents and will be assessed against the objectives and policies throughout the Plan to ensure that the most environmentally appropriate route is adopted.</u></i> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

14. Policy 3K - Lakeside areas

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	10	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3K – already covered in existing plan.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	7	Alternatively to submission 1: Should policy 3K be retained, it be retained as is	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	17	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Policy 3K, existing Plan sufficient for issues raised.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
54	Guide Hill Station	14	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3K.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	18	While the intent of Policy 3K is acceptable, concerned about removal of <i>Lakeside Protection Areas</i> . Request that there a control which states that there will be no buildings within a set distance of the lake edge and that set distance be around 500m. Buildings beyond that limit must be able to be built absorbed into the surrounding landscape, and no buildings on a prominent feature or ridgeline.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	Meridian Energy Ltd	F70	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	19	With regard to Holiday Hut Settlements such as Lake Alexandrina – the number of and size of such homes should not be allowed to increase beyond that there at present, and there should be no enlargement of these buildings.	Accept in part
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	24	Amend Policy 3K to make it more consistent with the wording of the RMA and to better reflect the intent of the Policy as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): Policy 3K – Lakeside Areas <i>To avoid, <u>remedy, or mitigate</u> adverse impacts <u>effects</u> of buildings, structures and uses on the landscape values and character of the Mackenzie Basin lakes and their margins.</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	25	Amend the first bullet point after Policy 3k, in particular to acknowledge that Lake Benmore is an artificial lake with a history of modification related to hydro development as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Mackenzie Basin contains two of the South Island's <u>ten</u> significant 'Southern Lakes': Tekapo and Pukaki. It also contains the smaller Lake Alexandrina in its entirety, parts of Lakes Benmore and Ruataniwha, and parts of the margin of Lake Ohau, although not the lake surface itself. <u>Hydro-electricity generation developments since the 1950s have resulted in the damming and raising of lake levels at Tekapo, Pukaki, Ohau, Ruataniwha and Benmore, and in the construction of power canals through the central basin. Although modified and in two cases <u>artificial, man-made</u>, these lakes variously <u>are jewels of the Basin, and of the most outstanding value</u> each have various outstanding values. Lake Pukaki and its setting, <u>for example</u>, is a tourist icon, both visually and as the approach to Mount Cook/Aoraki and the National Park. Tekapo similarly, without the specific Aoraki connection, but with a high mountain backdrop. Ohau is <u>has</u> similar in importance among <u>value as other Southern Lakes</u> and its margins within Mackenzie District should be considered in the same terms as those of Tekapo and Pukaki. Lake Alexandrina, while of smaller scale and differing character, is <u>a</u> much valued for-fishing lake <u>and also of outstanding value</u>. Lake Benmore, is also <u>artificial and formed behind New Zealand's largest</u></u></i> 	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			<p><i>earth-filled retaining structure. Although it is artificial the lake while man made, has a scale and ruggedness also of with outstanding value including recreation values, and contributes to New Zealand's renewable energy production.</i></p> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	
71	Meridian Energy Limited	26	<p>Insert a new Policy (after Policy 3K) that recognises the existing physical assets that contribute to the Mackenzie District landscape as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 3(x) – Hydro-electricity Generation</i></p> <p><i>To recognise the importance of the Mackenzie Basin, and in particular Lakes Tekapo, Ruataniwha, Pukaki, Ohau, and Benmore and their associated renewable energy generation and transmission infrastructure and operations to the district, region and nation's social, economic and cultural well-being.</i></p> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Reject
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	27	<p>Insert a new Explanations and Reasons to support new Policy 3 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This policy <input type="checkbox"/> recognizes the national importance of this infrastructure and aims to ensure that the values associated with the renewable energy resources of the Mackenzie Basin and its lakes are sustainably managed and not compromised by other development. As noted in Section 15 of this Plan, utilities of national significance are found in the Mackenzie District including the Pukaki High Dam, Tekapo A and B and Ohau A power stations. Lakes Tekapo, Pukaki, Ruataniwha and Ohau were dammed and raised between 1935 and 1985 to generate hydro-electricity while Lake Benmore was created as part of the process of damming the Upper Waitaki.</i> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	16	<p>Include reference in the Explanations and Reasons to Policy 3K (first bullet point) to cross-boundary landscape issues, and the contiguous elements of landscape along and across the boundary with adjoining territorial authorities.</p> <p>Reword the second bullet point to read:</p> <p><i>“Built development, roads, land use intensification, wilding tree spread, and earthworks in the vicinity of these lakes have the real potential to degrade not only the local landscape character and naturalness, but also the wider and more expansive views up, down and across them.”</i></p> <p>Include a further bullet point to identify that Lakeside Protection Areas are intended to ensure that the potential adverse effects of inappropriate land use and development on the outstanding natural features and landscapes of lakeside environments can be adequately managed.</p> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept
	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	F11	Oppose	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	13	Amend Policy 3K to recognise that it is not possible to avoid all adverse impacts of nationally significant electricity infrastructure on the landscape values and character of the Mackenzie Basin lakes and their margins. This could be achieved by making amendments along the following lines (additional text underlined): <i>To avoid adverse effects of buildings, structures and uses <u>and significant adverse effects of nationally significant infrastructure</u> on the landscape values and character of the Mackenzie Basin lakes and their margins</i> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	14	Expand the second bullet point in the 'Explanation and Reasons' in Policy 3K to recognise the relationship of nationally significant infrastructure to Lakes Tekapo, Pukaki and Ohau and the need to provide for maintenance and further development of the associated physical resources. This could be achieved by making amendments along the following lines (additional text underlined): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <i>Built development, roads and earthworks in the vicinity of these lakes have the real potential to degrade not only their more local landscape character, but also the wider and more expansive views up, down and across them. <u>Notwithstanding this, the presence of nationally significant electricity generation and transmission infrastructure within the Mackenzie Basin, and particularly within the outstanding natural landscape areas of Pukaki, Tekapo and Ohau must be acknowledged and the benefits derived from the supply of electricity need to be taken into account when assessing landscape values, character and capacity.</u></i> Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	14	Add the words "landuse intensification and wilding tree spread" to Policy 3K.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

15. Policy 3L - Subdivision

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	11	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3L – there is no point having a farm subdivision of there is no right to building a dwelling or farm building.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	8	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3L(b) be withdrawn	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	18	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend point (a) of Policy 3L by adding the words “ <i>Each subdivision to be allowed a building site</i> ” at the end. Delete point (b) from Policy 3L.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	15	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3L.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	20	Policy 3L – why has the minimum site for non-residential subdivision been decreased from 500ha to 200ha?	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept
	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	F11	Oppose	Accept
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept
	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	F114	Oppose	Accept
70	Meridian Energy Limited	4	Amend Policy 3L – as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): Policy 3L – Subdivision a) To provide for subdivision of land for non-residential purposes (<u>excluding utilities</u>) only where this subdivision does not have the potential to impact on <u>effect</u> the landscape values and character of the immediate and wider area, and will not diminish the sustainability of existing and likely future productive use of farm holdings. b) To only provide for subdivision for residential purposes within identified or approved building nodes, <u>and taking into account water supply availability</u> . c) <u>To provide for subdivision for utilities purposes.</u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Support	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Reject
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Reject
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support/Oppose	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	28	Amend Policy 3L as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through): Policy 3L – Subdivision a. To provide for subdivision of land for non-residential purposes (<u>excluding utilities</u>) only where this subdivision does not have the potential to impact on <u>effect</u> the landscape values and character of the immediate and wider area, and will not diminish the sustainability of existing and likely future productive use of farm holdings. b. To only provide for subdivision for residential purposes within identified or approved building nodes. c. <u>To provide for subdivision for utilities purposes.</u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	29	Amend the <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> as follows (addition underlined): <i>As for Policy 3C</i> <u><i>Subdivision for utility purposes is often required to ensure that adequate infrastructure and facilities are provided for the District. This is provided for in Section 12: Subdivision, Development and Financial Contributions.</i></u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	7	Alternatively to submission 1: - Policy 3L – each subdivision to be allowed a building site.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	13	The Boards seeks clarification of policy 3L and wants certainty that visitor facilities and accommodation are to be placed within nodes.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

16. Policy 3M – Manuka Terrace Rural- Residential Zone

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	21	Further to the requirements in the Explanations and Reasons to Policy 3M, that there be a requirement to retain the existing natural vegetation cover of Manuka and other shrub species, and a control on clearance, which should only be permitted for a house building platform and its immediate service area.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
70	Meridian Energy Limited	5	Amend the Policy 3M Explanations and Reasons as follows (addition underlined): Policy 3M – Explanations and Reasons ... <u>Taking into consideration the availability of a sustainable domestic water supply and potential effects on water quantity (including existing users) in the District..</u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Support	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Accept
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Accept
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support/Oppose	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	30	Amend Policy 3M so that it is consistent with the wording of the RMA (additions underlined, deletions struck through): Policy 3M – Manuka Terrace Rural-Residential Zone To manage <u>avoid, remedy or mitigate</u> the adverse effects of existing and further subdivision and development on Manuka Terrace, Lake Ohau through the Rural Residential–Manuka Terrace Zone. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	31	Amend the <i>Explanations and Reasons</i> to recognise the existence and ongoing maintenance requirements of hydro-electricity infrastructure as follows: Explanations and Reasons <i>The Rural Residential zone for Manuka Terrace specifies how adverse effects of past and future subdivisions such as landscape impacts, servicing issues with water supply and sewage treatment and disposal, and winter shading and severe wind hazard, <u>and adverse effects on hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations are to be avoided, remedied</u> or mitigated by:</i> <i>a. Setting a minimum lot size of 4ha,</i> <i>b. Setting servicing standards for water supply, sewage treatment and disposal, stormwater, power and telecommunications,</i> <i>c. Controlling the design and appearance of subdivisions and housing,</i> <i>d. In addition to recognition of the wind hazard in this District Plan, all Property Information Memoranda and Land information Memoranda for the area will advise of the wind hazard.</i> <i>e. <u>Taking into account any actual or potential effects on the safe and efficient operation of the Waitaki Power Scheme, including taking into account civil safety matters associated with the operation of the hydro-electricity generation in proximity to the site. This can be achieved for example by appropriate setbacks, the location of buildings in relation to monitoring equipment and facilities, and avoiding the potential for reverse sensitivity effects.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Accept

17. Policy 3N - Design And Appearance Of Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	12	Alternatively to submission 1: Policy 3N – don't agree with proposed rules covering entire Mackenzie Basin, and neither does RMA	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	19	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete the words 'of the Basin Subzone' from the end of Policy 3N and replace with " <u>that would be visible from publicly accessible areas</u> ".	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
54	Guide Hill Station	16	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete Policy 3N.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	22	Intent of Policy 3N is generally acceptable, but request that there be a stated height for buildings, with a maximum height of 7m, and no buildings located on prominent features or ridgelines, also a length of time in which storage cargo containers may be allowed to remain on any one property.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	32	<p>Amend Policy 3N so that the intent of the Policy is clear (additions underlined, deletions struck through):</p> <p><i>Policy 3N – Design and Appearance of Buildings</i></p> <p><i>To control the design, appearance and location of all residential buildings, and other buildings where reasonable, while having regard to the purpose of the buildings, within the Mackenzie Basin to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse impacts on the landscape values of the Basin Sub zone.</i></p> <p><i>Explanations and Reasons</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>As for Objective 3A</i> • <i>Refer also Policy 3D.</i> • <i>...</i> • <i>The Council recognises that due to technical, operational and security requirements associated with electricity generation and transmission, the extent to which the adverse landscape effects of these activities can be avoided, remedied or mitigated is more limited than for residential activities. For this reason, the Council will take into consideration the operation, design, and purpose of the building, and the particular locational requirements of utilities infrastructure.</i> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	17	<p>Amend Policy 3N to read:</p> <p><i>“To control the design, <u>scale</u>, appearance and location of all buildings within the Mackenzie Basin to avoid or mitigate adverse impacts on the <u>outstanding natural features, landscape and heritage</u> values of the Basin Subzone.</i></p> <p>Include a further two bullet points in the Explanations and Reasons to note that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The effects of built development are not confined simply to the built structure, but also frequently include the domestication or modification of the surrounding environment. The Council seeks to manage these potential effects when considering the merits of proposals to erect buildings within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.”</i> • <i>“Wilding trees or wilding source trees shall not be used as landscape mitigation or as the context for building nodes, farm accessory buildings or remote farm buildings.”</i> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	15	<p>Amend Policy 3N to recognise that the significant adverse effects of nationally significant infrastructure will be addressed through location rather than controls on design and appearance. This could be achieved by amending the Policy and adding a new bullet point to the explanation along the following lines (additional text underlined):</p> <p><i>Policy 3N - To control the design, appearance and location of all buildings, <u>except nationally significant infrastructure which will be controlled through location rather than design and appearance, within the Mackenzie Basin to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the landscape values of the Basin Subzone.</u></i></p> <p><i>Explanation and Reasons'</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <i><u>Given the fundamental requirements of support structures there is little that can be done in the way of modifications to the form or profile of transmission lines. For example, the height of structures makes the screening of towers impractical, there are practical limitations or constraints relating to the location of connection points and there are minimum safety clearances that must be maintained. The location of nationally significant infrastructure is subject to Policy 3I and its effects are subject to Policy 3K.</u></i> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

18. Policy 30 - Views From Roads

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
4	Tasman Downs Station	6	<p>Alternatively to Submission 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Remove all reference to controls on lawful existing farming practices 	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
6	Braemar Station Ltd	13	<p>Alternatively to submission 1:</p> <p>Policy 30 – restricts existing uses and farming practices – farmer should be encouraged to consider this, not compelled to.</p>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	9	Alternatively to submission 1: Delete Policy 3O; or Should policy 3O be retained, it be changed to reflect an educational rather than a regulatory approach. We suggest “To encourage the protection of outstanding landscape features and avoid screening of distinct views when viewed from public roads” or similar.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	20	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Policy 3O.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	17	Alternative to Submission 1: - Delete the last part of Policy 3O ‘and the screening of distinct views is avoided when viewed from public roads’.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	5	Amend Policy 3O to read or alternative wording of like effect: <u><i>To manage landscape change so that the outstanding natural landscape values and features are protected and the screening of distinct views is avoided when viewed from public places including public roads.</i></u>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	23	Intent of Policy 3O supported, but other places need to be included as well such as views from lakes and other water bodies.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	7	The explanation to Policy 3O needs to be amended to recognise that irrigators and wrapped feed may be part of any rural view and this does not need to be avoided.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	33	Amend Policy 3O so that the intent of the Policy is clear (additions underlined, deletions struck through): <i>Policy 3O Views from Roads</i> <i>To manage landscape change so that the outstanding natural landscapes values and features of the Mackenzie Basin are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and the screening of distinct views is avoided, remedied or mitigated when viewed from public roads.</i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept in part
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	18	Amend proposed Policy 3O to read: <i>“To manage landscape change so that the <u>character, outstanding natural features and landscapes</u> are protected and the screening of distinct views is avoided when viewed from public roads <u>and other public places.</u>”</i> And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
129	PGG Wrightson Limited	1	<p>1. The deletion of those provisions placing restrictions on existing longstanding farming activities in the Mackenzie Country is distinct from the range for new activities and developments that are emerging and which it is accepted should be subject to controls.</p> <p>2. If (1) not accepted, then at least provide for these consents should be non-notified, no written approvals.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	15	Add the words “and other public places” at the end of Policy 3O.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

19. Implementation Matters

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
4	Tasman Downs Station	4	Alternatively to Submission 1: - Remove 10% extension to existing homestead nodes	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	14	<p>Alternatively to submission 1:</p> <p>Amend the Implementation Methods as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Non-residential building should be a discretionary activity outside building nodes and controlled activity on existing freehold title. - 200ha is too big, 50ha would be more appropriate with right to one residential building and associated farm buildings per subdivision. - New non-farm buildings in existing or approved building nodes should be discretionary activities with their visual impact from publicly accessible areas assessed. - Only new buildings which are visible from a public place should require consent in relation to their design and appearance. - Delete statement to encourage placement of various temporary farm structures such as irrigators and wrapped feed back from roads and state highways, through preparation and distribution of guidelines to landowners and managers. 	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	10	<p>Alternatively to submission 1:</p> <p>In the Implementation Methods – delete all references to nodes on rural residential properties.</p>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	21	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend the Implementation Methods for all policies as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the second bullet point and replace with “<u>Non-residential buildings be a restricted discretionary activity outside of building nodes and a controlled activity on existing freehold titles</u>”; - Amend the third bullet point by replacing ‘200ha’ with “<u>50ha</u>” and adding the words “<u>and with the right to one residential dwelling and associated buildings per subdivision</u>” at the end; - Note that in terms of the fourth bullet point, that homestead areas often to small and not all are identified as nodes in the proposed change; - In the sixth bullet point, delete the words ‘restricted’ and ‘outside the node’ and add at the end <u>from publicly accessible areas</u>”; - Add the following words to the end of the seventh bullet point “<u>only where visible from publicly accessible areas</u>”; and - Delete the paragraph starting “to encourage placement of various ...” 	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
42	Roberta Preston	5	References to attempted controls of farming operations should be removed.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
54	Guide Hill Station	18	Opposed to Implementation Methods – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	24	In terms of the Implementation methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That the nodal form of development must be based on the ability of the land forms to effectively absorb such developments, not necessarily on the current homestead nodes as proposed. - That an explanation is given for why there has been a change from 500ha down to 200ha for the minimum lot size. - That the request for a new building node and any extension to existing nodes be non-complying activities. - Appendix R – we question the capacity for new nodes as identified on the map - the encouragement for the placement of temporary farm structures back from roads and state highways is supported, but there appears to be a lack of any measures to require compliance. 	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	19	Amend the Implementation Methods for all Policies as follows: Delete the term “ <i>residential</i> ” from the sentence beginning “ <i>To control residential subdivision...</i> ” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend the fifth bullet point to state “<i>Approval of new building nodes and extensions to existing identified nodes through <u>Non Complying</u> resource consent.</i>” • Amend the seventh bullet point to read: “<i>All new buildings requiring <u>resource</u> consent in relation to their <u>location</u>, design, <u>scale</u> and appearance.</i>” • Include four additional bullet points addressing: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Controls on subdivision, use and development within Sites of Natural Significance, Scenic Viewing Areas, above 900 metres above mean sea level, and within Lakeside Protection Areas. 2. Controls on subdivision and development within the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone. 3. Controls on subdivision, earthworks, structures, tree planting and forestry in the Rural Zone. 4. Reference to other Methods addressing advocacy and promotion, collaboration, the use of guidelines, etc., consistent with those used elsewhere in the Plan. <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
112	Lake Tekapo Community Board	2	Any of the new rules added should not restrict the farming community's ability to farm their land.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

20. Objective 3B And Lakeside Protection Policy And Rules

20.1. Objective 3B

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	15	Alternatively to submission 1: - Delete Objective 3B	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
19	Federated Farmers South Canterbury	12	Alternatively to submission 1: That proposed Objective 3B be withdrawn and current Rural Objective 3 be retained.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	22	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Objective 3B and its explanation and reasons.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	19	Opposed to Objective 3B – but no specific request	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	6	Retain Objective 3B, but insert rules that better protect the landscape and amenity values of the Basin’s lakes and margins.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	25	Objective 3B and the reasons listed are supported.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	34	Amend Objective 3B as follows (addition underlined): <i>Objective 3B – Landscape Values</i> <i>Protection <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development of the natural character of the landscape</u> and of the margins of lakes, rivers and wetlands which contribute to the natural character and landscape values of the Mackenzie Basin <u>and of the natural processes and elements that contribute to the District’s overall character and amenity.</u></i> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	35	<p>Amend the <i>Reasons</i> as follows (additions underlined, deletions struck through):</p> <p>Reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer also to Objective 4, Policy 4B and Implementation, Objective 2, Policy 2A and 2C, and Objective 6 It is <u>generally</u> appropriate that development, particularly in the high country and Mackenzie Basin has an overriding regard to the wider visual and landscape considerations which are important to the well-being of the District and its inhabitants. <u>Notwithstanding this, a broad overall judgment is required when applying section 5 and Part 2 of the RMA in order to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources. Some development, for example hydro-electricity generation and transmission, will have positive effects that need to be balanced against any adverse visual and landscape considerations. Sustainable management in those circumstances requires an overall consideration of various matters including enabling people and communities to provide for their social and economic well-being. In the Mackenzie District this will involve weighing competing issues, for example the benefits to be derived from renewable energy generation and transmission infrastructure, including locational and operational constraints.</u> To sustainably manage the physical resource of the District, some priority is <u>generally</u> required to ensure the protection of the landscape and visual amenity and in particular the landscapes which have been identified as outstanding, <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</u> The high country of the District and the Mackenzie Basin have an impressive array of landscapes. Assessment of landscapes shall be based on the following characteristics: natural scenic values, aesthetic values, recognised values, and <u>takata whenua values and the values associated with renewable energy resources and infrastructure.</u> Refer Rural Issue 7 for more detail. <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	Transpower New Zealand Limited	F91	Support	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	20	<p>Amend proposed Objective 3B to read:</p> <p><i>“Protection of the natural character of the landscape and margins of lakes, rivers and wetlands and of the natural processes, <u>patterns</u> and elements that contribute to the District’s overall character, <u>heritage</u>, and amenity.”</i></p> <p>Amend the fourth bullet point in the Reasons to read:</p> <p><i>“Assessment of landscapes shall be based on the following characteristics: natural <u>science</u> values, aesthetic values (<u>including memorability and naturalness</u>), <u>shared and</u> recognised values and <u>takata whenua values, <u>legibility values, transient values, natural character, and historic associations.</u></u>”</i></p> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Reject
	Rhoborough Downs Limited	F10	Oppose	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	16	<p>Amend new Rural Objective 3B – Landscape Values to clarify that protection in this policy context means protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development rather than protection from subdivision, use and development per se. This could be achieved by adding text along the following lines (additional text underlined, deleted text in strikethrough):</p> <p><i>Protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development of the natural character of the landscape and margins of lakes, rivers and wetlands and of the natural processes and elements that contribute to the District's overall character and amenity.</i></p> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	17	<p>Amend the Reasons to new Rural Objective 3B – Landscape Values to recognise that a balance is required between physical and natural resources and to also recognise that physical characteristics should be recognised in assessing landscape values. This could be achieved by amending the text of the first three bullet points along the following lines (additional text underlined, deleted text in strikethrough):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>It is appropriate that development, particularly in the high country and Mackenzie Basin has an overriding regard to the wider visual and landscape considerations which are important to the well-being of the District and its inhabitants. <u>Notwithstanding this a broad overall judgement is required when applying section 5 of the RMA. This will involve weighing competing issues, for example the benefits derived from the national supply of electricity and the degree of potential adverse effect that the associated infrastructure may have. Applying such a judgement may determine that crossing an outstanding landscape area is the best route for a new line, as alternative routes may result in greater overall effects.</u></i> ○ <i>To sustainably manage the physical resource of the District, some priority is required to ensure the protection of the landscape and visual amenity, and in particular the landscapes which have been identified as outstanding, <u>from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</u> The high country of the District and the Mackenzie Basin have an impressive array of landscapes.</i> ○ <i>Assessment of landscapes shall be based on the following characteristics: natural scenic values, aesthetic values, recognised values, and takata whenua values <u>and the values attributed to the presence and distribution of physical resources.</u> Refer Rural Issue 7 for more detail.</i> <p>Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.</p>	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	16	<p>Amend the characteristics classification so it reads: <i>“<u>natural science, aesthetic values, shared and recognised values (including naturalness, legibility values, historic association, transient values, natural character) and takata whenua values.</u>”</i></p>	Accept in part
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Oppose	Accept in part
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Oppose	Accept in part
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Oppose	Accept in part
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Oppose	Accept in part

21.2. Existing Policy 3A – Lakeside Landscapes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	16	<p>Alternatively to submission 1: - Retain existing policy 3A.</p>	Reject
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Reject
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ</i>	<i>23</i>	Retain existing policy 3A.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	3	That the Lakeside Protection Areas are reinstated into the Mackenzie District Plan, that these be extended to encapsulate the broader lakeside landscapes that are vulnerable to the effects of inappropriate subdivision and development and that subdivision and built development within these areas is a non-complying activity. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	3	The Board is opposed to the removal of policy relating to lakeside landscapes. Distances from the lake edge need to be retained, to avoid nodes appearing on the lake edge.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

21.3. Deletion of Lakeside Protection Rule 3.1.1i

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	26	Retain existing Lakeside Protection Area rule.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	26	Concerned about the deletion of rural zone building standard 3.1.1.i Lakeside Protection Areas, and required that no new buildings be permitted within a lakeside zone at all and the zone width be extended to 500m from the lake edge.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject	

22. Definitions

22.1. Nodes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
33	Transit New Zealand	3	Adopt Plan change 13, except as amended below: Insert the following definition of a node into the definitions section: <i>“A node should be defined as an area of shelter trees within the overall exposed high country landscape and within which is contained all buildings and development contemplated in a particular area.”</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	25	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete the definitions for <i>Identified Building Node</i> and <i>Approved Building Node</i> .	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	1	Add a definition for “Building Node” as follows: <u><i>Building Node or Node means a node to accommodate residential subdivision and development, including farm buildings (but excluding farm accessory buildings which require a remote location). For the avoidance of doubt, utilities may, but are not required to, locate within identified or approved building nodes.</i></u> Any necessary consequential amendments to Appendices R and S. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject

22.2. Remote Farm Accessory Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	24	Alternative to Submission 1: Add the words ” or other” to the to the heading for the definition of <i>Remote Farm Accessory Building</i> Delete the words ‘farm’ and ‘requires a location’ from the definition of <i>Remote Farm Accessory Building</i> .	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
58	Department of Conservation	7	Amend the definition of ‘Remote Farm accessory building’ as follows (or alternative wording to like effect): <i>Remote farm accessory for the purposes of this rule means a farm accessory building, the use of which is incidental to the use of the site for a farming activity (refer definition), other than for the on-site sale of produce grown or reared on the site, which because of its function requires a location remote from the principle homestead and farm buildings.</i>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	21	That, either the Definition of Remote Farm Accessory Building is deleted from the Plan (and these buildings become subject to controls that apply to other buildings generally through proposed Rule 3.4.1), or, That Rule 3.2.2 is amended to make these buildings a Discretionary Activity, in the manner sought in submission number 8. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

23. Rural Zone Rules – Mackenzie Basin Subzone - Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
33	Transit New Zealand	4	Adopt Plan change 13, except as amended below: Amend the existing Rural Zone Statement by inserting the following sentence: <i>“The Mackenzie Basin Subzone is that land identified on attachment 1 in the Planning Maps.</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
--	--	------------	---------------	----------------

23.1. Farm Building Within Nodes – Permitted Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	11	Delete rural rule 3.1.2.d.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	27	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend rural zone rule 3.1.2.c by adding the words “ <u>where building is visible from public place</u> ”.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	28	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend rural zone rule 3.1.2.d by deleting ‘100m’ and replacing it with “ <u>10m</u> ”.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	27	Rural zone building standard 3.1.2.a – request that the maximum height be 7m and maximum building footprint be 300m ² .	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	28	Rural zone building standard 3.1.2.c – control is supported, but for new buildings there should be time limit on how long they shall remain unpainted – pre-painted roofs would be a better alternative than the use of unpainted roofing iron.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
69	Haldon Station	4	That ancillary farming buildings be permitted to be established outside of nodes.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
69	Haldon Station	6	Delete the requirement specified under 3.1.2.d as impracticable.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	5	Amend Rule 3.1.2 as follows: 1. Amend Rule 3.1.2.a to read: “ <i>Maximum height shall be 7 metres</i> ” And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	28	Amend Rule 3.1.2 as follows: 1. Include a further standard to read: “ <i>Maximum site coverage shall be 100m²</i> ” And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	29	Amend Rule 3.1.2 as follows: 1. Amend Rule 3.1.2.b(ii) and (iii) to read 100 metres and 50 metres respectively. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	30	Amend Rule 3.1.2 as follows: 1. Incorporate reference to Rule 3.1 controls that apply to Sites of Natural Significance, SVA's and High Altitude Aras (3.1.1.3) and Lakeside Protection Areas (3.1.1.i) And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
106	Dean Smith	3	Rural Rule 3.1.2.c – maximum reflectivity in outstanding natural landscapes should be around 20-30%.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
106	Dean Smith	4	Council should specify that external building colours and materials in the rural zone should consist of greys, browns and greens.	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	17	Reduce the maximum height of buildings 7m	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	18	Increase the building setbacks from highways to 100m and from other roads to 50m	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

23.2. Rural 3.2.2 Remote Farm Accessory Buildings – Controlled Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	9	Alternatively to submission 1: - Operation requirements of a farm should be the determinant of the location of any farm buildings – references to attempted controls on these lawful activities should be removed.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	12	Delete rural rule 3.2.2.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	29	Alternative to Submission 1: If Rule 3.2 is not deleted as requested in Submission 31, then amend the Matters Subject to Council’s Control in rural rule 3.2.2 by adding the words “ <i>where visible from a public place</i> ” at the end of the bullet point.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	31	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete all of 3.2 Controlled Activities.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	21	Opposed to controls on remote farm buildings, but no specific request	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	29	Rural rule 3.2 and 3.2.1 are supported, but request that in terms of matter's subject to council control that the colour, appearance and height conform to standards as indicated in Policy 3N.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	30	Rural Rule 3.2.2, standard and terms (i) – building height should be 7m.,	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	44	Rural Rule 3.2.2, standard and terms (ii) – building should be setback from a highway at 100m, and other roads by 50m. Any such building should also be a Discretionary Activity	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	10	In terms of rural rule 3.2.2, we request that remote farm accessory buildings are provided for as a permitted activity subject to compliance with listed standards including colour and material.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	8	That Proposed Rule 3.2.2 (Remote Farm Accessory Buildings in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone) is amended to a full Discretionary Activity status and that the building height threshold is reduced to 7 metres with a maximum floor area threshold of 40 m2. Minimum setbacks from state highways and other roads should be amended to be 100 metres and 50 metres respectively. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
74	Canterbury Regional Council	22	Delete proposed Rule 3.2.2 and reinstate Rules 3.3.1 and 3.3.4, amended to ensure that non-compliance with standards is addressed as a full Discretionary Activity. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	4	The Board supports the Controlled Activity status for remote non-farming buildings outside of nodes, <u>provided</u> they are the standards re adequate. Only remote <u>small-scale</u> non-farming buildings should be allowed.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

23.3. Non-farm buildings within Nodes – Restricted Discretionary Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	13	Delete Rural rule 3.3.1.d	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	17	Delete Rural rule 3.3.1.e	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	30	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Rural zone rule 3.3.1.d	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	32	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete all of new rural rule 3.3.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	33	Alternative to Submission 1: Reinstate the original rural rule 3.3.1.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	46	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Rural zone rule 3.3.1.e	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
54	Guide Hill Station	22	Alternative to Submission 1: - Increase building size for non-farm buildings to 500m ² in rural 3.3.1.f	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	8	Amend the 'Matters subject to Council's discretion' in Rule 3.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities – Buildings as follows (a) Delete the word 'visual', and amend the third bullet point to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The impact of associated earthworks, hard surfacing and access including on natural features and water quality.</u> (b) Add a fifth bullet point to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Impact on indigenous biodiversity</u> 	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	31	That the maximum number of non-farm building within any building node as stated in rural rule 3.3.1.e – shall be 5, with a 7m height limit and setback from a highway by 100m and other roads by 50m.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	4	Amend Rural rule 3.3 as follows: <i>Non-farm buildings within Identified Building Nodes or Accepted Buildings Nodes or located on an existing vacant site with a minimum site area of 4ha that was created or approved by subdivision consent prior to 30 November 2007 and such approval has not lapsed within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone which comply with the following standards ...</i> Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	36	Add the following matters for discretion to Rule 3.3 (additions underlined): 3.3. Restricted Discretionary Activities – Buildings: ... Matters Subject to Council’s Discretion: ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Effects on water quality arising from run-off during construction.</u> • <u>Effectiveness of ongoing stormwater management on the site.</u> • <u>Effects on existing hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations.</u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
74	Canterbury Regional Council	9	<p>Amend Proposed New Rule 3.3 (Restricted Discretionary Activities – Buildings) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend 3.3.1.a (Height of Buildings) to read “<u>7 metres</u>”. Amend 3.3.1.b to increase the setback from state highways and other roads to <u>100 metres</u> and <u>50 metres</u> respectively. Amend 3.3.1.e (Number of non-farm buildings) to read: “(i)<i>The maximum number of non-farm buildings (excluding accessory buildings) within any building node shall be 10.</i> (ii)<i>The maximum number of accessory buildings within any building node shall be 10.</i>” Include additional matters within Rule 3.3.1 to read: <u>3.3.1.i Sites of Natural Significance, Scenic Viewing and High Altitude Areas</u> <u>Refer Rule 3.1.1.e</u> <u>3.3.1.j Lakeside Protection Area</u> <u>Refer Rule 3.1.1.i</u> Amend the Matters Subject to Council’s Discretion to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Effects on landscape and visual amenity.</u> <u>Servicing of the site (sewage, stormwater, water supply, power, and telecommunications).</u> <u>Bulk and scale of buildings</u> <u>Landscaping and planting (including tree removal).</u> Amend proposed Rule 3.3.2 to make these buildings a full Discretionary Activity, consistent with Policy 3N. <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	3	<p>Alternatively to submission 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the number of non-farm buildings within a building node to 20 in 3.3.1.e Remove 400m² limitation on building size in 3.3.1.f 	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	NZ Defence Force	F22	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept

23.4. Rule 3.4.1. Discretionary Activity Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	34	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Rural rule 3.4.1	Reject
	Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited	F21	Support	Reject
	Mt Gerald Station Limited	F26	Support	Reject
	Lone Star Farms Ltd	F60	Support	Reject
	Star Holdings Ltd	F61	Support	Reject
	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	F62	Oppose	Accept
	Coldwater Developments Limited	F73	Support	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Reject
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Reject
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Reject
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	5	Amend Rural Rule 3.4.1 as follows: <i>Within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone the following buildings shall be Discretionary Activities:</i> ... <i>b Non-farm buildings within Identified Building Nodes or Approved Buildings Nodes or located on an existing vacant site with a minimum site area of 4ha that was created or approved by subdivision consent prior to 30 November 2007 and such approval has not lapsed.</i> Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Reject
	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	F62	Oppose	Accept
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept
	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	F80	Support	Reject
	Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited	F81	Support	Reject
	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	F83	Support	Reject

23.5. Rule 3.5.5 Non-Complying Activities – Non-farm Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	8	That buildings proposed within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone that fall outside of an identified or approved building node be treated as restricted discretionary activities and not non-complying activities.	Reject
	NZ Defence Force	F22	Oppose	Accept
	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers	F39	Support	Reject
	Canterbury Regional Council	F74	Oppose	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	35	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Rural rule 3.5.5	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	6	Amend Rural Rule 3.5.5 as follows: <i>Non-farm buildings in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone not within Identified Building Nodes or Accepted Buildings Nodes, <u>except where located on an existing vacant site with a minimum site area of 4ha that was created or approved by subdivision consent prior to 30 November 2007 and such approval has not lapsed.</u></i> Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

23.6. Rule 3.5.6 Non-Complying Activities Farm Buildings

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	11	Alternatively to submission 1: - Operation requirements of a farm should be the determinant of the location of any farm buildings – references to attempted controls on these lawful activities should be removed.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	17	That buildings proposed within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone that fall outside of an identified or approved building node be treated as restricted discretionary activities and not non-complying activities.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	47	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Rural rule 3.5.6.	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	F26	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	9	Add a new Rule 3.5 Prohibited Activities – Buildings Amenity tree planting -it is a prohibited activity for which no resource consent will be granted to plant the following species within an approved building node or an extension to an existing building node: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pinus contorta (Lodgepole) • Pinus nigra (Corsican Pine) • Pinus muricata (Bishop Pine) • Pinus sylvestris • Pinus menziesii (Douglas fir) 	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

24. Rural Zone Rules - Rule 4.2.2 Earthworks And Tracking- Controlled Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	9	That any earthworks up to 1000m ³ and 2500m ² not require any form of resource consent and that all volumes of earthworks in excess of these amounts be treated as controlled activities.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	14	Rural zone rule 4.2.2. Increase volumes of earthworks to: “Greater than 600m ³ and less than 2000m ³ per site or base soil exposed greater than 2000m ³ and 5000m ³ per site will be a controlled activity”.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
38	Tekapo Ski Area Ltd	1	Reject the proposal or alternatively issues, objectives, policies and rules as they relate to earthworks.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	36	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete rural rule 4.2.2.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	23	Alternative to Submission 1: - Clarify that the earthworks and tracking rule does not prevent the cleaning out of block waterways as a permitted activity.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	32	Concerned over rural rule 4.2.2 not applying to the levelling of fence lines to a maximum depth of 200mm – mitigation of adverse impacts by replacing the soil layer to assist with revegetation should be required.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	38	Retain the exclusion of earthworks for utility services from Rule 4.2.2.	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
74	Canterbury Regional Council	11	Amend proposed Rule 4.2.2 by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing riparian setbacks in a manner consistent with those set out in Rule 4.1.1.c (page 7-46) of the District Plan. Including Lakeside Protection Areas and Scenic Viewing Areas within the listed areas excluded from the Rule. Amend the matters to which the proposed Rule does not apply by deleting the first, third and fourth bullet points in their entirety, and adding the words “<u>and roads</u>” to the second bullet point. Either delete the “Matters subject to Council’s Control” in its entirety; <p>or</p> Add a further bullet point to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “<u>Impact on landscape, amenity, and indigenous ecosystems</u>”. <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	18	Retain the exemption for earthworks for utility services from Rural Zone Rule 4.2.2 which is to be added to 4.2 Controlled Activities – Earthworks and Tracking.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

25. Rural Zone (Mackenzie Basin Subzone) - Building Nodes Rules

25.1. Rule 15.1.1

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
4	Tasman Downs Station	3	Alternatively to Submission 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have no minimum number of dwellings per node 	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	5	Alternatively to submission 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of dwellings per node should also be altered. Each application should be determined on its own merits and have particular regard to the proposed location. 	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
13	Robert Preston	3	We would also like the minimum of 5 and maximum of 10 building platforms to be deleted.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	15	Amend Rural Rule 15 as follows: 15.1.1. Delete all reference to the number of building platforms within a node.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
33	Transit New Zealand	2	Adopt Plan change 13, except as amended below: Amend Rural Activity 15.1.1 bullet point two with regard to perimeter planting as follows: <i>“In areas where ice can form on roads, vegetation shall not be planted, or allowed to grown, in a position that will shade the carriageway of a state highway between the hours of 10am and 2pm of the shortest day of the year. This rule shall be deemed to be complied with by: where topography is already preventing the direct access of sunlight onto the state highway and where the vegetation existed at the time of notification (of the Proposed Plan)</i> and/or <i>“That vegetation is setback 2.5 times its full grown height from the road boundary.”</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	37	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend rural rule 15.1.1 as follows: - Amend the first bullet point by deleting the words ‘identify at least five but no more than 10 building platforms’, and replace with “ <u>contain no more building platforms than the landscape can sustain</u> ”. - The second bullet point is too restrictive, if must apply it should on apply to nodes publicly visible.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
42	Roberta Preston	2	That the maximum number of nodes per property be deleted and the minimum of 5 and maximum of 10 building platforms be deleted, and revisited. All sites are different.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	24	Alternative to Submission 1: - Disagree with substantial perimeter planting.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	14	Seek that any application to establish an Approved Building Node should be for a non-complying and should be publicly notified.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	33	Rural rule 15 Building Nodes – that any approved node or extension to an existing node be a non-complying activity with any application being publicly notified.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	34	That the use of the following species for perimeter planting of building nodes as required in rule 15.1.1 be listed as a prohibited species: <i>Pinus contorta</i> / lodgepole pine; <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> / scots pine; <i>Pinus mugo/uncinata</i> / mountain pine; <i>Pinus meniesii</i> / Douglas fir; <i>Pinus nigra</i> / Corsican pine; <i>Larix deciduas</i> / European larch; <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> / ponderosa pine; <i>Pinus muricata</i> / muricata pine; and <i>Pinus pinaster</i> / maritime pine.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	42	Seek that any application to establish an Approved Building Node should be for a non-complying and should be publicly notified.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	11	In terms of Rural rule 15.1 Discretionary Activities, the requirements listed Policy 3G should be matters to which consideration is given to, not what have to be achieved. Inability to meet one of the matters in Policy 3G makes an activity non-complying, which is too restrictive.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	10	Delete proposed Rule 15.1 in its entirety And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	F11	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
112	Lake Tekapo Community Board	3	Amend rules relating to Nodes to allow property owners to building new stand alone homesteads without accessory buildings outside these areas.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	19	Amend the second bullet point of rural rule 15.1.1 to read: “ <i>All notes shall be contained by natural landform or no invasive vegetation to achieve significant screening from outside the node.</i> ”	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
135	Gottlieb & Anne Braun-Elwert	2	Endorse PC 13 with following qualifications: - Only allow a maximum of 5 building platforms in a building node	Reject
135	Gottlieb & Anne Braun-Elwert	3	Endorse PC 13 with following qualifications: - Define the size of building platforms within nodes	Reject

25.2. Rule 15.1.2

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	6	Alternatively to submission 1: - A 10% extension to existing homestead nodes is too restrictive and should be removed.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
13	Robert Preston	6	Remove the 10% limitation to any extension of a identified building node, and be more flexible.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	18	Amend Rural Rule 15 as follows: 15.1.2. Delete all reference to the size of any extension to a building node.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
33	Transit New Zealand	5	Adopt Plan change 13, except as amended below: Amend Rural Activity 15.1.2 bullet point 1 with regard to perimeter planting as follows: <i>“In areas where ice can form on roads, vegetation shall not be planted, or allowed to grown, in a position that will shade the carriageway of a state highway between the hours of 10am and 2pm of the shortest day of the year. This rule shall be deemed to be complied with by: where topography is already preventing the direct access of sunlight onto the state highway and where the vegetation existed at the time of notification (of the Proposed Plan)</i> and/or <i>“That vegetation is setback 2.5 times its full grown height from the road boundary.”</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	38	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend rural rule 15.1.2 as follows: - Delete the words ‘outside the node’ and replace with “ <u>public areas</u> ” at the end of the first bullet point.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
42	Roberta Preston	4	A 10% extension to existing homestead nodes is too restrictive. Development should be permitted to continue in and around homesteadings without restriction.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	35	That the use of the following species for extension of perimeter planting of building nodes as required in rule 15.1.2 be listed as a prohibited species: <i>Pinus contorta</i> / lodgepole pine; <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> / scots pine; <i>Pinus mugo/uncinata</i> / mountain pine; <i>Pinus meniesi</i> / Douglas fir; <i>Pinus nigra</i> / Corsican pine; <i>Larix deciduas</i> / European larch; <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> / ponderosa pine; <i>Pinus muricata</i> / muricata pine; and <i>Pinus pinaster</i> / maritime pine.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	43	Seek that any application to extend an existing identified node should be for a non-complying and should be publicly notified.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	45	Rural rule 15 Building Nodes – that any approved node or extension to an existing node be a non-complying activity with any application being publicly notified.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	32	Amend proposed Rule 15.2 accordingly to make all proposed new nodes (“Approved Building Node”) and additions to nodes (“Identified Building Node” and “Approved Building Node”) a Non-Complying Activity. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

25.3 Rule 15.2.1 Non Complying Activities.

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	12	Delete Rural rule 15.2.1.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

26. Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	12	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - the limitations on earthworks be clarified and subject to further discussion;	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	36	Support the control on certain species for amenity tree planting in Manuka Terrace Zone rule 3.3.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
79	Lana Hastie	2	I do agree with the consideration to the wind hazard out at Manuka Terrace and that ALL new buildings should be built to wind and snow loadings.	Accept
80	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	4	(a) That the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone be renamed the 'Twizel Rural Residential Zone', and that that zone be amended to include all of HCROL's land on the south-western side of the Twizel River as indicatively shown on the plans attached to submission. (b) Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of this submission.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
81	Mackenzie Lifestyle Limited	2	That the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone be renamed the Twizel Rural Residential Zone, and the Twizel Rural Residential Zone be amended to include that part of the High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited land identified as Lot 1 (229ha) as shown on the plan attached to the submission. Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission.	Reject

	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	3	In the alternative to submission point 1: That the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone be renamed the 'Twizel Rural Residential Zone', and that that zone be amended to include the portion of land owned by Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership contained within RM060010, OR Consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
111	Brenda Agnew	3	Manuka Terrace – damage has been done,, allow these owners to build.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
131	Andrew Dyer	1	Add in standards for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential area to make it clear what is needed to be met to be permitted.	Reject
134	Ursula Krebs	1	I own land in Manuka Terrace and I don't want to be changed to rural-residential.	Reject

26.1 Rule 3.3 Visitor Accommodation – Permitted Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
28	W E Robinson & W J Ellery	3	The proposed plan 7A.6.2 is amended so that visitor accommodation activity is a permitted activity with conditions as in the existing plan.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
31	John Harvey Blair	1	No restrictions on number of non-commercial guests.	Reject
117	K M Lane	3	To allow provision to accommodate more than 6 guests without applying for resource consents.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

26.2 Rule 4.1 Buildings – Controlled Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	7	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - resource consents to build residential dwellings be removed;	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
28	W E Robinson & W J Ellery	1	That proposed plan rule 7A.4.1 is amended so that building activity is a permitted activity with conditions as in the existing plan.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
31	John Harvey Blair	2	No requirement for resource consent in respect of 'external appearance' if one residential building on a site (inc 2ha site) meets the objective criteria for residential buildings as to coverage, height and setback and complies with location and	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			appearance conditions of resource consent RM050094.	
74	Canterbury Regional Council	23	Include in proposed Rule 4.1 that control is also reserved over the manner in which the building is serviced for sewage, potable water, power and telecommunications. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
86	Steven Rhodes	1	That Council delete rule 4.1 in relation to buildings at Manuka Terrace and allow for building activity within the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone to be undertaken as a permitted activity (but subject to the normal standards in relation to height, setbacks and coverage etc.)	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	1	Oppose need to obtain resource consent for dwellings in Manuka Terrace Zone	Accept in part
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	1	Oppose need to obtain resource consent for dwellings in Manuka Terrace Zone	Accept in part
117	K M Lane	1	To remove the requirement for resource consent as to location, design, access and external appearance of residential buildings.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	1	Oppose need to obtain resource consent for dwellings in Manuka Terrace Zone	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
123	Angela Robinson	1	Oppose need to obtain resource consent for dwellings in Manuka Terrace Zone.	Accept in part

26.3 Rule 4.2 Earthworks – Controlled Activity

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	9	That any earthworks up to 1000m ³ and 2500m ² not require any form of resource consent and that all volumes of earthworks in excess of these amounts be treated as controlled activities.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
28	W E Robinson & W J Ellery	2	The proposed plan rule 7A.4.2 is amended so that earthworks activity is a permitted activity with conditions as in the existing plan.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	14	Rural zone rule 4.2.2. Increase volumes of earthworks to: "Greater than 600m ³ and less than 2000m ³ per site or base soil exposed greater than 2000m ³ and 5000m ³ per site will be a controlled activity".	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
54	Guide Hill Station	25	Alternative to Submission 1: If it applies away from Manuka Terrace, alter: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - controlled activities rule 4.2 – should not apply for routine repair of operation tracks and watercourse for stock water; - noxious activities – a lot are part and parcel of farm operations and need to be excluded; - site standard – noise – fall farms use chainsaws and equipment that would exceed the maximum levels - site standards – home occupations – what about shearers, farms hands etc, hours of operation are not consistent with farming - site standards – aircraft – most stations haven an airstrip for topdressing and visitors 	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
70	Meridian Energy Limited	6	Add the following matters of control to 4. Controlled Activities (Rural Residential – Manuka Terrace Zone) (addition underlined): <p style="text-align: center;"><i>4 Controlled Activities: Matters Subject to the Council's Control:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">...</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u><i>Effects on water quantity and reliability of supply for existing users arising from domestic water supply</i></u></p> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support/Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
71	Meridian Energy Limited	39	Add the following matters of control to 4 Controlled Activities (Rural Residential – Manuka Terrace Zone) (additions underlined): <i>Controlled Activities: Matters Subject to the Council's Control:</i> ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i><u>Effects on water quality arising from run-off during construction.</u></i> <i><u>Effectiveness of ongoing stormwater management on the site.</u></i> <i><u>Effects on existing hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations.</u></i> Retain the exclusion of earthworks for utilities services from proposed Section 7A, Rule 4.2. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept in part
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	24	Amend Rule 4.2 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delete the first bullet point from proposed Rule 4.2. Include a further bullet point in the matters for discretion to read: <i><u>“Effects on landscape, visual amenity, and environmental health”</u></i> And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
86	Steven Rhodes	3	Delete the words “or building node” from earthwork rule 4.2 in the Manuka Terrace Zone rules.	Accept in part
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	19	Retain the exemption for earthworks for utility services from Rural Residential – Manuka Terrace Zone Rule 4.2 Earthworks.	Accept
117	K M Lane	4	To allow earthworks greater than 300m ³ or 1000m ² soil exposed without applying for resource consent.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

26.4 Rule 7.1 Forestry – Non-Complying

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	13	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - forestry be reclassified as a controlled activity; and	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	37	Include in the non-complying activities for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone a restriction on the length of time cargo storage containers can remain on a property, so they do not become a permanent feature.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

26.5 Rule 8.1 Prohibited Activities – Amenity Tree Planting

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	14	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - the amenity tree planting of Corsican Pine be permitted.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
52	Frank Hocken	1	Delete Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone rule 8.1 -	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
58	Department of Conservation	10	Amend Manuka Terrace Zone rule 8.1 Amenity Tree planning by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adding <i>Pinus menziesii</i> (Douglas fir) to the list of prohibited species Providing the common name as well as the botanical name for all species listed where possible Correct the spelling of the listed 'Pinus muriata' to read "<i>Pinus muricata</i>". 	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	38	Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone rule 8.1 is fully supported. Add the following species: <i>Pinus mugo/uncinata</i> / mountain pine; <i>Pinus meniesii</i> / Douglas fir; <i>Pinus nigra</i> / Corsican pine; <i>Larix deciduas</i> / European larch; and <i>Pinus pinaster</i> / maritime pine. Russell lupins and tree lupins should also be added to the list of species that are prohibited from being planted in the Mackenzie Basin.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	25	Amend Rule 8.1 Prohibited Activities in Part 7A Rural Residential – Manuka Terrace Zone to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>pinus Mugo</i> And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	3	Oppose prohibited activity status for planting of some trees – especially <i>Pinus Nigra</i> . <i>Pinus Contorta</i> should be banned.	Reject
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	3	Oppose prohibited activity status for planting of some trees – especially <i>Pinus Nigra</i> . <i>Pinus Contorta</i> should be banned.	Reject
117	K M Lane	2	To allow the Amenity Tree planting of <i>Pinus Nigra</i> (Corsican Pine)	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	3	Oppose prohibited activity status for planting of some trees – especially <i>Pinus Nigra</i> . <i>Pinus Contorta</i> should be banned.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	4	Add <i>Pinus Mugo</i> to list of prohibited plants.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
123	Angela Robinson	3	Oppose prohibited activity status for planting of some trees – especially <i>Pinus Nigra</i> . <i>Pinus Contorta</i> should be banned.	Reject
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	20	Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone Rule 8.1 is supported, together with the listed plants. However the list is incomplete and needs expanding to include all invasive species including lupins.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

26.6 Rule 9.1 Residential Density – Site Standard

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	15	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - That the site standard 9.1(ii) be amended to read “2 hectares for lots approved or filed for approval with Council prior to 19 December 2007”.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	7	Amend Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone rule 9.1 as follows: <i>No more than one residential unit and one minor unit per site provided the minor unit can comply, in its own right with setback, height and parking requirements for a residential unit</i> <i>The minimum site area for each residential unit and minor unit shall be:</i> <i>I 2ha for lots created or approved by subdivision consent prior to 30 November 2007 and such approval has not lapsed</i> <i>ii 4ha for all other lots</i> Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	6	Amend Manuka Terrace Zone rule 9.1 (i) to read “2ha for lots approved or filed prior to 19 December 2007”	Accept in part
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	6	Amend Manuka Terrace Zone rule 9.1 (i) to read “2ha for lots approved or filed prior to 19 December 2007”	Accept in part
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	6	Amend Manuka Terrace Zone rule 9.1 (i) to read “2ha for lots approved or filed prior to 19 December 2007”	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
123	Angela Robinson	6	Amend Manuka Terrace Zone rule 9.1 (i) to read “2ha for lots approved or filed prior to 19 December 2007”	Accept in part

26.7 Rule 9.4 Building and Hard Surface Coverage – Site Standard

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	7	Opposed to 700m ² site coverage – may not be sufficient.	Reject
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	7	Opposed to 700m ² site coverage – may not be sufficient.	Reject
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	7	Opposed to 700m ² site coverage – may not be sufficient.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
123	Angela Robinson	7	Opposed to 700m ² site coverage – may not be sufficient.	Reject

27. Subdivision

27.1. Controlled Activity Subdivision

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	40	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend subdivision rule 3 – Controlled activities by: - clarifying that the earthworks rules only apply to subdivision earthworks; - deleting bullet points 1, 2, 3, five and six; and - amend bullet point four by deleting the word 'waterways.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
70	Meridian Energy Limited	7	Amend <i>Section 12: Subdivision, Development and Financial Contributions amendments</i> by adding the following matters for control to Section 12 Controlled Activities (addition underlined): 3. Controlled Activities – Subdivision ... <u><i>Effects on water quantity and reliability of supply for existing users arising from domestic water supply</i></u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support/Oppose</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	41	Add the following matters for control to Section 12 Controlled Activities (addition underlined): 3. Controlled Activities – Subdivision ... • <u><i>Effects on existing hydro-electricity generation and transmission infrastructure and operations (including effects on the ability to access monitoring equipment for maintenance purposes);</i></u> • <u><i>Effects on existing lawful water users; and</i></u> Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Transpower New Zealand Limited</i>	<i>F91</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	42	Retain the bullet point under <i>Earthworks: avoidance or mitigation of impacts on waterways...</i> ;	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	45	Clarification in the Plan Change that the proposed Rule 3 amendments apply to Manuka-Terrace Zone	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	

27.2. Rule 4A Restricted Discretionary Activity Subdivision in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	41	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend subdivision rule 4A – Subdivision in the Mackenzie Basin Sub Zone such that it is a controlled activity and not a restricted discretionary activity.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
58	Department of Conservation	11	Delete the words ‘an approved building node’ from subdivision Rule 4A.a	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	39	That any subdivision of or within an identified building node, approved building node or extension to an identified building node under subdivision rule 4A be a non-complying activity .	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pa Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	40	Clarification in the Plan Change that the proposed Rule 4A apply to Manuka-Terrace Zone Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
135	Gottlieb & Anne Braun-Elwert	4	Endorse PC 13 with following qualifications: - Do not allow further subdivision of building nodes. Do	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			not want satellite villages dotted around the Basin.	

27.3. Discretionary Activities – Subdivision

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
4	Tasman Downs Station	2	Alternatively to Submission 1: - Remove 200ha minimum size for subdivision	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	4	Alternatively to submission 1: - The minimum subdivision lot of 200ha should be removed.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
13	Robert Preston	4	Remove the 200ha minimum for subdivision.	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	42	Alternative to Submission 1: Amend subdivision rule 4.e. so that the minimum area is 50ha not 200ha.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part	
73	Coldwater Group	6	Subdivision Rule 4A – opposed to 200ha lot size. Size should be determined by a combination of landuse, topography and location.	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
73	Coldwater Group	7	Opposed to 200ha minimum lot size with no right to build	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	26	Amend the Subdivision Rules so that any subdivision non-compliance with the District Plan requirements that establish a maximum density of residential development within nodes at ten dwellings and a maximum 10% increase in approved extension to nodes, is controlled as a non-complying activity. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	27	That the Primary Subdivision Standards are amended to incorporate a 10 hectare minimum allotment size as the Controlled Activity threshold in the Rural Zone generally, beyond the Mackenzie Basin Subzone. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes be made.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	20	Retain the changes proposed to Rule 6.a.v of Section 12 – Subdivision, Development and Financial Contributions to the extent that these provide for no minimum allotment sizes in	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			any zone for allotments for utilities.	
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	8	Alternatively to submission 1: - Amend subdivision rule 4e to 20ha or less	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

27.4. Primary Standards for Subdivision – Water Supply

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	11	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - the requirements of both water and telephone be provided to the net area of each allotment be removed;	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
28	W E Robinson & W J Ellery	4	The proposed plan subdivision rule 10.6.b should be deleted.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
79	Lana Hastie	1	I strongly disagree with the rule of supplying water, connection for electricity and phone in the Rural residential area.	Reject
84	Andrew Eccleshall	2	In the alternative to submission point 1, that the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): ▪ That the reference to the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone in Subdivision rule 6.b be removed. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
100	NZ Fire Service	1	That Primary Subdivision standard 6.b be amended to read as follows: <i>6.b Water Supply</i> <i>All new allotments in the Residential, Rural Residential and Business Zones other than allotments for access, roads, utilities and reserves, shall be provided with a connection to a Council reticulated water supply (which is to a W3 water supply classification standard) laid to the boundary of the net area of the allotment, except where:</i> <i>6.b.i there is no Council reticulation network and/or</i> <i>6.b.ii there is no water available from a water scheme to supply the new allotments.</i> <i>1. Any allotment which is not connected to a reticulated water supply shall comply with the following:</i> <i>At the time a dwelling is erected on any site, domestic</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			<p><u>water and fire fighting storage is to be provided. A minimum of 20,000 litres shall be maintained at all times as a static fire fighting reserve within a 30,000 litre tank. Alternatively, an 11,000 litre fire fighting reserve is to be made available for any dwelling in association with a domestic sprinkler system installed to an approved standard.</u></p> <p><u>A fire fighting connection in accordance with Appendix B – SNZ PAS 4509:2003 is to be located within 90 metres of any proposed building on the site. In order to ensure that connections are compatible with New Zealand Fire Service equipment the fittings are to comply with the following standards:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Either: For flooded sources – 70mm Instantaneous Couplings (Female) NZS 4505, or for suction sources – 100mm Suction Couplings (Female) NZFS 4505 is to be provided.</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Flooded and suction sources must be capable of providing a flow rate of 25 litres/sec at the connection point/coupling. The Fire Service connection point/coupling must be located so that it is not compromised in the event of a fire.</u></p> <p>(c) <u>The connection shall have a hardstand area adjacent to it to allow for a New Zealand Fire Service appliance to park on it. The hardstand area shall be located in the centre of a clear working space with a minimum width of 4.5 metres. Access shall be maintained at all times to the hardstand area.</u></p> <p><u>Underground tanks or tanks that are partially buried (provided the top of the tank is no more than 1 metre above ground) may be accessed by an opening in the top of the tank whereby couplings are not required. A hardstand area adjacent to the tank is required in order to allow a fire service appliance to park on it and access to the hardstand area must be provided as above.</u></p> <p><u>Fire fighting water supply may be provided by means other than the above if the written approval of the New Zealand Fire Service is obtained for the proposed method.</u></p> <p><u>The fire fighting water supply tank and/or the sprinkler system shall be installed prior to the occupation of the building.</u></p> <p><u>ADVICE NOTES:</u></p> <p><u>For more information on how to comply with the above or on how to provide for NZFS operational requirements refer to the New Zealand Fire Service Fire Fighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2203 (http://www.fire.org.nz/building/water.htm). In particular, the following should be noted:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>For more information on suction sources see Appendix A, SNZ PAS 4509:2003, Section B3.</u> • <u>For more information on flooded sources see Appendix B, SNZ PAS 4509:2003, Section B3.</u> • <u>The reserve capacities and flow rates stipulated in the above conditions are relevant only for single-family dwellings. In the event that any proposed dwelling provides for more than single-family occupation then the consent holder should consult with the NZFS as larger capacities and flow rates may be required.</u> <p><u>All Other Activities</u></p>	

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			<i>Activities other than residential activities shall comply with the provisions of SNZ PAS 4509:2003</i>	

27.5. Primary Standards for Subdivision – Energy Supply and Telephone Systems

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	11	That the proposal for the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential zone be amended as follows: - the requirements of both water and telephone be provided to the net area of each allotment be removed;	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
28	W E Robinson & W J Ellery	5	The proposed plan subdivision rule 10.6.d should be deleted.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
65	New Zealand Forest Establishment Ltd	8	Amend Subdivision Rule 6.d as follows: <i>All new allotments in the Residential, Rural Residential and Business Zones, other than allotments for access, road, utilities and reserves, shall be provided with connections to electric supply and telephone systems to the boundary of the net area of the allotment, <u>except that telephone systems are not required to service new allotments in the Rural-Residential-Manuka Terrace Zone. Refer to Part 15 Utilities Rules for standard relating to lines.</u></i> Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
79	Lana Hastie	1	I strongly disagree with the rule of supplying water, connection for electricity and phone in the Rural residential area.	Reject
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	2	Oppose need for telephone line for subdivisions in Manuka Terrace zone – mobile phone is sufficient.	Reject
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	2	Oppose need for telephone line for subdivisions in Manuka Terrace zone – mobile phone is sufficient.	Reject
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	2	Oppose need for telephone line for subdivisions in Manuka Terrace zone – mobile phone is sufficient.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
123	Angela Robinson	2	Oppose need for telephone line for subdivisions in Manuka Terrace zone – mobile phone is sufficient.	Reject

27.7. Subdivision Access Standard 7.b.x

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	16	The instance of a turning area for rural and rural-residential subdivision be removed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
35	John and Pauline Beekhuis	3	Deletion of new subdivision standard 12.7.b.x regarding access; or alternatively an exemption from the application of this standard to Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	43	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete subdivision standard 7.b.x	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
82	Hocken Lane Land Owners Association	3	Deletion of new subdivision standard 12.7.b.x regarding access; or alternatively an exemption from the application of this standard to Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	3	Deletion of new subdivision standard 12.7.b.x regarding access; or alternatively an exemption from the application of this standard to Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
89	Frank Hocken	3	Deletion of new subdivision standard 12.7.b.x from the proposed plan change.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
90	Frank Hocken	3	Rescind the no more than 6 on a right of way	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
113	DJ & JL Raynor	3	That the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC 13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of, and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): - Deletion of new subdivision standard 12.7.b.x regarding access, or alternatively an exemption from the application of this standard to Hocken Lane.	Reject

27.8. Reserve Contributions – Residential Purposes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	44	Alternative to Submission 1: In terms of subdivision standard 7.d, this should be a sliding scale having regard to distance to amenities. Support the fact that this does not apply to subdivisions for farm worker accommodation.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

28. Transportation

28.1. Transport Rule 2.q.ii Minimum height of Private Accesses

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	4	Transport Rule 2.q(ii) – the minimum height clearances for private vehicles should be raised to 4.2m, which is standard across the country.	Reject
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	4	Transport Rule 2.q(ii) – the minimum height clearances for private vehicles should be raised to 4.2m, which is standard across the country.	Reject
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	4	Transport Rule 2.q(ii) – the minimum height clearances for private vehicles should be raised to 4.2m, which is standard across the country.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
123	Angela Robinson	4	Transport Rule 2.q(ii) – the minimum height clearances for private vehicles should be raised to 4.2m, which is standard across the country.	Reject

28.2. Transport Rule 2.q.iii Number of lots served by right of way

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	10	That the requirement that a maximum of only 6 lots can be serviced by a right-of-way be deleted.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	45	Alternative to Submission 1: Delete Transportation standard 2.q.iii.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	F62	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	F73	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Reject
69	Haldon Station	5	That the requirement for a public road be deleted in the circumstances where the purpose of such roads is simply to facilitate access for farming purposes.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
110	Ken & Glenda Robinson	5	Transport Rule 2.q(iii) – should be increased to 9 lots for access by road.	Reject
115	Craig Aaron Robinson	5	Transport Rule 2.q(iii) – should be increased to 9 lots for access by road.	Reject
119	Brett J Robinson (Nettlebed Family Trust)	5	Transport Rule 2.q(iii) – should be increased to 9 lots for access by road.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Reject
123	Angela Robinson	5	Transport Rule 2.q(iii) – should be increased to 9 lots for access by road.	Reject

29. Signs Rules 10.c

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
25	Mackenzie District Council	1	Amend Section 11 Signs Rule 10.c to read: <i>No signs shall be permitted within the areas identified as 'Sign restriction area'</i> Or similar. Refer plan attached to submission.	Reject
	<i>A H Hunter</i>	F136	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

30. Mackenzie Basin Subzone

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
49	High Country Properties Ltd	1	To guarantee that the Pukaki Airfield is excluded from the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	1	Refine the detail of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone Boundary to ensure that it can be interpreted with certainty. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	F11	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
80	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	2	(a) That the extent of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to <u>exclude</u> HCROL's land opposite Twizel, legally described as Sections 1, 3 and 8 SO Plan 384036. (b) Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of this submission.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
81	Mackenzie Lifestyle Limited	1	That the extent of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to exclude that part of High Country Rosehip Orchards' land identified as proposed Lot 1 (229 ha) on the subdivision plan attached to the submission. Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	7	In the alternative, and as a least preferred option, to submission point 1, 3 and 4: (a) That the extent of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to exclude land owned by the submitter, specifically Lot 5 DP81765, Section 1-6 SO 19913, Lots 1-3 DP 81765. Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
84	Andrew Eccleshall	3	In the alternative to submission point 1, that the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): ▪ That the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to exclude the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
86	Steven Rhodes	2	That the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to exclude the Manuka Terrace Rural Residential Zone	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
113	DJ & JL Raynor	4	1. That the extent of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be amended to exclude land owned by the Submitters – specifically Lot 3 DP364926, thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime in this location; or 2. Other recognition in the provisions of PC13 that the Submitters land is suitable for rural-residential subdivision and land use.	Accept

32. Appendix R – Capacity For New Nodes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
-----	----------------	-----	---------	----------

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	9	Alternatively to submission 1: - That the “X” be removed from this area, that it be shaded in pink and assigned building nodes and that provision also be made for the development of a township or settlement as detailed on the Map attached to submission	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
13	Robert Preston	1	We would like all of property with a ‘X’ on it to be removed and replaced with areas of Blue and Pink.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
13	Robert Preston	5	Remove the “x” from our Lake Wardell block and colour it pink, as well as adding provision for a town or settlement.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
42	Roberta Preston	1	I would like all the property with an ‘X’ on it removed, and replaced with areas of blue and pink.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
42	Roberta Preston	3	That the triangle piece of land north of Lake Wardell to have the ‘X’ removed.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
43	Sarah Preston	1	That all of our property marked with an ‘X’ replaced with pink so we can continue with our plans	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
60	Lone Star Farms Ltd	2	Should the analysis noted in Submission 1 deem a set number of nodes to be appropriate, then Map 8 be amended to show the maximum number of new nodes per station rather than per landscape sub-area.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject	
61	Star Holdings Ltd	2	Should the analysis noted in Submission 1 deem a set number of nodes to be appropriate, then Map 8 be amended to show the maximum number of new nodes per station rather than per landscape sub-area.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	15	Concerned about the wider distribution of nodes in Appendix R Capacity for new Nodes.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	9	Amend Appendix R by showing a new landscape sub-area to the south of State Highway 8 as shown on plan 'B' attached to submission. This will include the existing Holbrook node and provide capacity for an additional building node to be developed	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	13	Meridian seeks amendment to specific nodes contained in proposed Appendix R, as identified on the map attached to these submissions and labelled Appendix 1 (as explained in more detail in Submission 10 in the original submission). Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	20	Clarification of the relationship between Appendices R and S and the opportunity to submit on any ensuing changes; Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
71	Meridian Energy Limited	21	Amend the boundaries of nodes included in Appendix R. The amendments to Appendix R sought by Meridian are shown on the map attached to these submissions as Appendix 1; Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
74	Canterbury Regional Council	2	That Proposed "Attachment 3 – Appendix R: Capacity for New Nodes" is deleted from the Proposed Change. And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.	Accept
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	6	Alternatively to submission 1: - Revisit Appendix R in consultations with landowners on an individual basis.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

33. Appendix S – Identified Building Nodes

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
24	Mackenzie District Council	1	Amend Appendix S to provide for identified building nodes at Pukaki Downs and Fernitosh as detailed in letter from Graham Densem, Landscape Architect dated 31 March 2008 and attached to submission	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	16	The node for Irishman Creek Station omits a substantial area in which there are numerous farm buildings, structures, etc. We submit an amended map, and note with interest that the area proposed conforms to the criteria listed in the Plan.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	F60	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	F61	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
44	Mrs Marion Seymour	1	With reference to Map 8 – Densem report: - That the lower node on Fernitosh Station is reinstated	Accept
44	Mrs Marion Seymour	2	With reference to Map 8 – Densem report: - That the area described in the submission is deleted.	Accept
44	Mrs Marion Seymour	3	a. That the Glentanner area to the south of the Twin Creek Bridge is withdrawn and not allowed for another ten years. b. That the special zoning is lifted from Glentanner Park so that it requires resource consent like everyone else, and that the capacity should be governed c. Remove the special zoning around Lake Pukaki Village.	Accept
44	Mrs Marion Seymour	4	Agree with Glen Lyon side of Lake Ohau plans	Accept
54	Guide Hill Station	20	Opposed to Identified building nodes, but no specific request	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
54	Guide Hill Station	26	Change boundaries of existing node on Guide Hill Station to incorporate homestead.	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	F22	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
57	Alistair Shearer	1	That it is not appropriate to include the Ruataniwha homestead area in the zone of high visual vulnerability. That Map 5 be amended to exclude the Ruataniwha homestead from the high visual vulnerability zone.	Accept
57	Alistair Shearer	2	That Landscape Map 8 be amended to include the Ruataniwha homestead area on the northern side of Glen Lyon Road with in the Lifestyle subdivision zone.	Reject
57	Alistair Shearer	3	That unless the Lifestyle subdivision zone is amended to include the Ruataniwha Homestead area, then the Ruataniwha homestead Node needs to be identified in Plan Change 13.	Reject
57	Alistair Shearer	4	That PC 13 be amended to recognise the significance of special features like the tree lined access road to the Ruataniwha homestead so these special features can be protected within subdivision development.	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	40	Include the following within the Plan Change: - Glentanner Station and the provision of tourist accommodation on sides of Twin Stream - Pukaki Village land at the southern end of Lake Pukaki -	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	F10	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	F70	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	F114	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
64	Glenrock Station Ltd	8	Amend Appendix S – Identified Building Nodes, by including the Holbrook existing node development as shown on aerial attached to submission.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	F21	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	F39	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	F80	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	F81	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	F83	<i>Support</i>	Accept
69	Haldon Station	1	That the node on Haldon Station be expanded to ensure that it accurately incorporates all and any buildings that comprise Haldon’s current activities – in particular that it should be extended to the lake and border the river..	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	F74	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	43	Meridian submits that the proposed Appendix S, if it is to be attached to the Plan Change, its relationship with Appendix R, and the symbols referred to in the Densem Report and Planning Maps, needs further clarification and consistency.	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	
71	Meridian Energy Limited	44	Amend any relevant aspects of Appendix S in relation to submission 21. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
96	Marion Gould	1	Extend the Existing Node boundaries for Guide Hill Station so that it includes the existing homestead and other farm buildings as shown on the map attached to submission.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	11	Alternatively to submission 1: - Revisit Appendix S in consultations with landowners on an individual basis.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

34. Manuka Terrace Zone Map

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
15	Bruce Pipe	1	That our land (Lot 1 DP 304241) on Glen Lyon Road be included in the Manuka Terrace Zone and that the boundaries of this zone be adjusted to reflect the planning policies upon which the proposed change is based.	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
39	Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers of NZ	39	Alternative to Submission 1: Please make it clear where the Rural-Residential – Manuka Terrace Zone rules finish.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept	

35. New Nodes/Zoning

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	10	Alternatively to submission 1: - That the plan change is amended to include land detailed in submission and illustrated on attached map as suitable for development and allocated a number of nodes.	Accept in part

	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	4	That an identified Building Node be allocated to Ruataniwha Farm, the placement of which should include existing residential dwellings and sheds along Ostler Road.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
43	Sarah Preston	2	We have no nodes on our property. All the nodes seem to be on Pukaki Downs Station as number 5, so some nodes on our property would be fair.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
43	Sarah Preston	3	Add a town symbol or a settlement symbol on our Wardell block as the old Pukaki village used to be there.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
69	Haldon Station	2	That provision be made for an additional 3 nodes on Haldon Station.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
78	Michael and Elaine Lindsay	2	That we given the right to build a homestead with a building consent to be issued without a resource consent.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
82	Hocken Lane Land Owners Association	4	Alternative to submission 1: Acceptance and identification of an “existing node” or of a “new node” suitable for low density rural subdivision that incorporates the Hocken Lane area. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	5	In the alternative, and as a least preferred option, to submission point 1, 3 and 4: (a) That an “existing homestead node” be identified on land owned by the submitter in accordance with the plan marked “B” attached to the submission Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	4	In the alternative to submission point 1: (a) That Council give effect to comments on page 58 of the Report regarding future land uses on the submitter’s land, by identifying a special Pukaki Downs Eco and Wellness Tourism Activity Area/Zone in accordance with the plan attached, and by formulating a specific land use and subdivision regime applicable to this area that will facilitate future eco and wellness tourism land use activities (see plan ‘A’ attached to submission. Consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

83	Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership	6	In the alternative to submission point 1: That a separate Pukaki Downs Rural Residential Zone be identified on land owned by the submitter in accordance with the plan attached, and a landuse and subdivision regime similar to that proposed for Manuka Terrace be formulated for this zone (refer to plan “A” attached to submission. Consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
125	Karen Simpson	1	A building node should be added for Mt John Station.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

36. General Submissions

36.1. No Relief

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
6	Braemar Station Ltd	17	Alternatively to submission 1: - Inclusion of district-wide rules will create “reverse sensitivity” - Permitted uses in rural zone (whether or not there is a Mackenzie Basin Subzone) should be those that relate to farming, not development - Plan Change goes too far in attempting to impose controls on normal farming activities. Existing uses based on RMA provisions are up to farmer to prove. - Agree needs to be rules around subdivision and nodal development, but these need to be kept separate from farming.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
8	JG & CA Murray Family Trust	1	Full support for the submission from Federated Farmers of the Mackenzie.	Accept in part
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	2	Alternatively to submission 1: - That Council makes an on-site visit be making any decisions on Plan change 13.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	7	Alternatively to submission 1: - No residential standards in the rural zone.	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
32	Irishman Creek Station Ltd	2	Opposed to the landscape Report, but no specific request made.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
50	Sawdon Station	1	No request stated	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
56	Mark Urquhart	1	Opposes for varying reasons, but no specific request	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
59	Brent Ramsey & Jason Dickson	1	No request stated	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
60	Lone Star Farms Ltd	3	Alternatively to Submission 1: 1 the reconsideration of arbitrary rules relating to subdivision size, numbers and size of nodes and volumes of earthworks. 2. The reconsideration of policies which limit the future farming potential of the Mackenzie Basin.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
61	Star Holdings Ltd	3	Alternatively to Submission 1: 1 the reconsideration of arbitrary rules relating to subdivision size, numbers and size of nodes and volumes of earthworks. 2. The reconsideration of policies which limit the future farming potential of the Mackenzie Basin.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
67	Ken & Jane Wigley	1	Object to limiting development of our business	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
76	L Brown	1	Support for restricting subdivision and creating rural-residential in limited places.	Accept in part
80	High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited	1	That the relevant provision of the District Plan (as modified by PC13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission. In doing so, that any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
89	Frank Hocken	5	In future, the council consults with the Hocken Landowners Associations, and not “run roughshod” over people’s rights.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
93	Sean Jones	1	No specific request	Reject
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
99	Peter Bell	1	Not clear	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
105	Ian Lintott	1	I wish to be able to subdivide should I require to.	Accept in part
128	Sam Bosshard & Jen Purdie	1	No request	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

36.2. Dairying

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
14	Donna Marie Falconer	1	Making dairy farming a prohibited activity in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
69	Haldon Station	7	Require dairy farming to be discretionary and get some emphasis for tourism.	Reject

36.3. Right to build on existing lots

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
9	CS & PJ Stott	1	The Mackenzie Basin Subzone should be redefined so as to exclude all relevant areas the subject of resource consents currently held and entitling residential development.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	6	That the requirement for resource consents to build residential dwellings be removed for those lots recently consented to subdivide by Council and also for those RCA's which were lodged with Council prior to notification date of the plan change date, i.e. 19 December 2007.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
16	Martin Galley	1	That existing land purchased prior to the proposed plan change 13 will not require resource consent for building a house.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
108	Ralph Smith & I R Smith Family Trust	1	Consider including all existing subdivision with sections of specific size being bought into the central area as shown by plan j:\16290 Basin Subzone.R2.dwg.	Accept in part
111	Brenda Agnew	1	A boundary change to the Mackenzie Basin Subzone so that it does not include any properties previously subdivided and titles with the approval of this district council other than properties already 200ha and larger.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

36.4. Other General Submissions

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
10	Rhoborough Downs Limited	3	Alternatively to submission 1: - Areas of "outstanding natural significance" need to be carefully defined in accordance with Section 6 of the RMA and the definition should apply where appropriate throughout the district, not just in the Mackenzie Basin.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
13	Robert Preston	2	We would like the maximum number of new nodes per property deleted and at the very least to be more flexible on a per property basis.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
22	New Zealand Defence Force	2	That the Proposed Plan Change give formal recognition the TMTA and other existing uses by way of a specific objective that acknowledges its establishment within the Subzone. Suggested wording for this objective is: <i><u>To avoid the potential for adverse reverse sensitivity effects on the Tekapo Military Training Rea and other existing uses that may result from the provision of inappropriately located and designed development within the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.</u></i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
44	Mrs Marion Seymour	5	That Council take more interest in noise and sanitary	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			pollutions – e.g. aircraft noise from Glentanner Park; camper vans pumping effluent out at side of road.	
45	Mrs Marion Seymour	1	The DC rethinks this one. This taking away individual rights also. Not consistent with areas around Twizel.	Reject
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	2	Replace the term ‘outstanding natural landscapes’ with the phrase “ <i>outstanding natural features and landscapes</i> ” wherever it is used.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
62	South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	41	Include the following within the Plan Change: - Wind farms and the impact that these can have on landscape values, should be included by an objective and rule to deal with the eventuality of their establishment within the Basin.	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
63	Julie Pascoe	1	I want the Council to consult individual landowners about their plans for the future use of their land, so that business ventures proposed to keep their ventures viable may be incorporated in the District Council plans.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
69	Haldon Station	3	That the plan change should not be imposed on Haldon’s property.	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	5	Clearly state in the opening sections of the proposed Plan Change that it does not apply to scheduled activities under the District Plan (including, without limitation, the permitted activities in accordance with Schedule A in Section 7 of the District Plan); Clearly state where necessary in the rules of the Plan Change that they do not apply to activities that are otherwise permitted under the District Plan as scheduled activities (including, without limitation, the permitted activities in accordance with Schedule A in Section 7 of the District Plan); Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
71	Meridian Energy Limited	37	Meridian seeks clarity in <i>Section 7 – Rural Zone Rules</i> . Meridian considers that this is best placed under the proposed <i>Status of Activities</i> section as follows (additions underlined): <i>All rules in the Rural Zone shall apply to the Mackenzie Basin Subzone unless otherwise stated.</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			<p><i>For avoidance of doubt, these rules do not apply to utilities which are addressed in Section 15 – Utilities Rules</i></p> <p><i>The following Clauses 3 to 14 16 ...</i></p> <p>Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.</p>	
71	Meridian Energy Limited	46	Retain existing provision 6.a.v. <i>Access, Utilities, Roads and Reserves</i> , insofar as there shall be no specified minimum allotment sizes in any zones for access, utilities, reserves and roads;	Accept
73	Coldwater Group	1	That development is managed under a discretionary regime – such as the Queenstown-Lakes District Council	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
74	Canterbury Regional Council	4	<p>That the MDC commits to proceeding, within two years, with a Plan Change to address the sustainable management of broader effects of land use changes on the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. This should be secured through the introduction of a new Policy committing the Council to this process.</p> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.</p>	Reject
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
74	Canterbury Regional Council	31	<p>Amend all relevant Planning Maps from the Mackenzie District Plan to incorporate the position of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.</p> <p>And that any other consequential amendments to the Mackenzie District Plan required to explain or give effect to these changes are made.</p>	Accept in part
	<i>Rhoborough Downs Limited</i>	<i>F10</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>C Hughes & Associates Ltd</i>	<i>F114</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
75	Sue Keen	2	Allowing farmers to develop small area if certain environmental restrictions are enforce: Such as: - height restriction of 5 metres - low light - colours to be reflective of the land - low reflectivity - low density of house to land - no skyline interference - development to be kept to basin area, allow the mountainous landforms to remain untouched.	Reject
78	Michael and Elaine Lindsay	1	We request that the Council make an effort to better communicate and consult with all the effected community. Perhaps Council should consider scraping this plan change and starting again as the oncoming economic downturn will almost halt subdivision for a few years.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
78	Michael and Elaine Lindsay	3	That we be given the right to finish the earthworks on Ben Ohau Road if needed.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
79	Lana Hastie	4	Night light I think could be down facing similar to that in Tekapo to preserve the view of the night sky especially outside light.	Reject
91	Transpower New Zealand Limited	4	Specifically define on the Planning Maps, by way of Variation if necessary, the Outstanding Natural Landscapes within the Mackenzie Basin (or conversely those parts of the Basin that are not Outstanding Natural Landscapes) and amend the Explanation and Reasons to Policy 3A accordingly. Any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in this submission. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
103	Te Runanga O Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga O Arowhenua Trust and Te Runanga O Waihao Trust	1	Ngai Tahu opposes the present formulation of the Proposed Change 13, and seeks that it be amended by incorporating into it greater recognition and provision for the relationship between Ngai Tahu and the Mackenzie Basin. A cultural impact assessment is to be undertaken and the recommendations within will need to be incorporated into the plan change.	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
106	Dean Smith	2	Incorporate new rules to prevent the further spread of wilding trees – perhaps encourage with rates relief or some other dispensation or even penalty.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
106	Dean Smith	5	Pukaki Airport zone should have colour and signage restrictions. There is potential for ‘Warehouse’ type red to be erected with the Twizel Colour Palette as a guide. Colours should be mute and follow the natural colours found in the natural surroundings.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
106	Dean Smith	6	Pukaki Village Zone should be removed, or at least setback below the skyline as seen from SH8 and the southern shoreline of the lake and located behind the rolling hills and moraine above the Pukaki River bed to the south.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
106	Dean Smith	7	Add standard that requires ugly structures such as irrigators to be parked a minimum of 100m away from fence lines adjacent to public roads. Add the same for shelterbelts.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
111	Brenda Agnew	2	The removal of nodes from with the Mackenzie Basin Subzone.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
114	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	2	That the alternative to the proposed 'nodal' concept is to require that all proposed residential buildings, farm buildings and development in the Mackenzie Basin Subzone is assessed as a discretionary activity, outside of existing building nodes.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
114	C Hughes & Associates Ltd	3	That there be no minimum lot size and all subdivision (other than boundary adjustments) is treated as a discretionary activity.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
118	A J Phillips	1	Remove the requirement for resource consent where usually no resource consent is required.	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	2	Alternatively to submission 1: Withdraw the system of nodal development. Any developments out of site of SH 8, 80 need not have heavy restrictions applied.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
125	Karen Simpson	3	Give more incentive and recognition to those landowners protecting natural, cultural and landscape values. – support QEII covenants.	Reject
	<i>Mt Gerald Station Limited</i>	<i>F26</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Coldwater Developments Limited</i>	<i>F73</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	1	That Council fulfil its obligations under section 7(e) and include heritage landscape values under the heading ‘Purpose’, and that these values are addressed in policies and rules.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	2	To address the effects of landuse changes and provide for them adequately within the Plan Change. The potential biodiversity loss through landuse changes needs to be addressed.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	5	The issues of potential effects from rural-residential development have been identified but not addressed. The Board asks that these matters be addressed.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
130	Canterbury/Aoraki Conservation Board	7	Landuse change can also constitute sporadic development. The Board asks that this aspect be recognised and addressed.	Accept in part
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Lone Star Farms Ltd</i>	<i>F60</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
	<i>Star Holdings Ltd</i>	<i>F61</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
133	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Southern Regional Office) (*)	2	That miscellaneous amendments to the plan change also includes reference to Section 10 – Heritage Protection and Section 4 – Takata Whenua.	Reject
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
133	New Zealand Historic Places Trust (Southern Regional Office) (*)	3	That consultation with Arowhenua Runanga and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu is undertaken in order that cultural values or Maori Heritage values are adequately incorporated either in into this plan change or through another agreed mechanism. NZPHT request a copy of the cultural values report once complete.	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

37. Twizel Submissions

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
9	Phil Rive	1	That the areas from the western edge of Twizel to the Canal; the land from Glen Lyon Road to the lake, and the land from SH8 to Ohau C which are already planned for development cannot be considered truly rural. They need to be included in the town's outer boundary, but definitely need to be excluded from PC13.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	1	That the proposed Twizel town boundaries be enlarged to include all land that is either currently consented, or is in the process of being consented, for lifestyle block subdivision. These areas must be excluded from the new Mackenzie Basin Subzone.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
11	Ruataniwha Farm Ltd	2	That all the land surrounding Twizel town be rezoned Rural-Residential, similar to the proposed new zoning for Manuka Terrace with a minimum lot size of 2 or 4ha for development without reticulated services.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
29	Rangi Ruru Rowing Parents	2	In the alternative to submission point (1): Amend the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone to	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			exclude the entire SIR site from the Subzone. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	
29	Rangi Ruru Rowing Parents	3	In the alternative to submission point (1): Extend the Ruataniwha Rowing Area by amending Appendix B of the District Plan in accordance with the plan attached to the submission and marked 'B'. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
29	Rangi Ruru Rowing Parents	4	Amend the second bullet point of Rural zone rule 3.1.1.g as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Be limited to storage, ablution, administration, launching, adjudication, <u>caretaker's residence, training and support facilities (NB: training and support facilities include; a kitchen, food, beverage, clothing and souvenir sales, lounge, ceremonial facilities and temporary accommodation for training purposes).</u> 	Accept in part
30	John Maxwell Phillips	1	I agree with nodal housing but not in a case where a subdivision approval has already been granted. I would like to see the existing sections on the north east side of Glen Lyon Road included in the residential zoning of the township The Twizel River makes a prefect natural boundary between the township and Subzone.	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
34	Ross Brewer & Diana Brewer	1	That my land (252 Glen Lyon Road) and other properties between Glen Lyon Road and Fraser River be excluded from the Mackenzie Basin Subzone and remain in the rural zone as has been proposed for land recently subdivided between Twizel town and Max Smith Drive.	
35	John and Pauline Beekhuis	1	(1) Amendments to the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone (or the Twizel township boundary) to exclude the Hocken Lane area from the Subzone thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime; or (2) The identification of a separate Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone, and the formulation of a land use and subdivision regime for this zone – similar to that proposed for Manuka Terrace <u>except</u> that residential buildings be allowed as a permitted activity with the Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone (subject to compliance with the relevant building standards); or (3) Acceptance and identification of an “existing node” or of a “new node” suitable for low density rural subdivision that incorporates the Hocken Lane area. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
35	John and Pauline Beekhuis	4	Maintain its existing consent conditions in regard to preserving our right to build a dwelling and to provide on-site treatment of household sewage on our property as previously specified without change.	Accept in part
46	Bruce White	1	That all land in the Hocken Lane subdivision re zoned Rural residential with a minimum lot size for subdivision purpose of 2 hectares.	Reject
46	Bruce White	3	That the requirement for resource consents to build residential dwellings and farm buildings be removed for all landowners in the Hocken Lane subdivision.	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
47	Grant & Natasha Hocken	1	I believe an area running from SH8 along the Twizel River to the Pukaki Canal along to Lake Ruataniwha and back to SH8 should be in the Twizel Town zone and excluded from the Subzone.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
48	The Mackenzie Experience Ltd	1	That the land on the corner of Max Smith Drive & SH8 (subject to a subdivision application lodged by the submitter) is excluded from the Mackenzie Basin Subzone and form part of the Twizel town boundary.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
53	Malcolm & Karen McDiarmid	1	Continuing the subdivision of Hocken Lane to a minimum of 2ha as MDC has already consented to date, and that Hocken Lane be rezoned rural-residential.	Accept in part
53	Malcolm & Karen McDiarmid	2	That MDC install a reticulated sewerage system to Hocken Lane and ask residents to pay for this on hook up to such system.	Accept in part
53	Malcolm & Karen McDiarmid	3	Oppose to the requirement of resource consent to build residential dwellings and farm buildings	Accept in part
55	N & C Lyons Family Trust	1	That there is a rural-residential zone encompassing the areas of Hocken Lane, the airport and Omahau Downs.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
55	N & C Lyons Family Trust	2	That the cluster of buildings comprising housing and accommodation business at Omahau Downs be recognised as a residential nodal site.	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
55	N & C Lyons Family Trust	3	That the western corner of our property is also designated as a nodal site	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
71	Meridian Energy Limited	14	The deletion of the shading around Twizel shown on proposed Appendix R and further clarity on how this area is to be addressed via the Plan Change, with an option to submit on any further changes. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendments proposed.	Accept
75	Sue Keen	1	Concerned to see Glen Lyon Road area zoned Rural. Enable sympathetic development in outlying areas of Twizel.	Accept
77	Krista Curin	1	Do not believe Plan Change 13 represents the optimum outcomes, especially for Glen Lyon Road as a whole. Opposed to the need to obtain consent to build a house. Glen Lyon Road area should be removed from the Subzone.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
77	Krista Curin	2	The land opposite the cemetery on Glen Lyon Road, should not be included in the township and should remain rural.	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
82	Hocken Lane Land Owners Association	1	(1) Amendments to the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone (or the Twizel township boundary) to exclude	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			<p>the Hocken Lane area from the Subzone thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime; or</p> <p>(2) The identification of a separate Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone, and the formulation of a land use and subdivision regime for this zone – similar to that proposed for Manuka Terrace <u>except</u> that residential buildings be allowed as a permitted activity with the Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone (subject to compliance with the relevant building standards);</p> <p>Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.</p>	
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	1	<p>(1) Amendments to the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone (or the Twizel township boundary) to exclude the Hocken Lane area from the Subzone thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime; or</p> <p>(2) The identification of a separate Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone, and the formulation of a land use and subdivision regime for this zone – similar to that proposed for Manuka Terrace <u>except</u> that residential buildings be allowed as a permitted activity with the Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone (subject to compliance with the relevant building standards); or</p> <p>Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.</p>	Accept in part
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	4	<p>1 That an “existing homestead node” be identified on land owned by the submitters; or</p> <p>2 Other recognition in the provisions of PC13 that the Submitters’ land is suitable for rural residential subdivision and land use.</p> <p>3 Council should accept that the submitters paid (highly) for their property on the basis that further subdivision was possible and legal, which it was at the time. Council should recognise this and pay compensation in accordance with section 85.</p> <p>4 Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission.</p>	Accept in part
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	4	<p>1. That the extent of the Mackenzie Basin Sub-zone be amended to exclude land owned by the submitters – specifically Lot 1 DP331442, thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime in this location; or</p> <p>2 Other recognition in the provisions of PC13 that the Submitters’ land is suitable for rural residential subdivision and land use.</p> <p>3 Council should accept that the submitters paid (highly) for their property on the basis that further subdivision was possible and legal, which it was at the time. Council should recognise this and pay compensation in accordance with section 85.</p> <p>4 Consequential amendments to achieve the intent of the submission.</p>	Accept in part
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	5	<p>(1) ceptance and identification of an “existing node” or of a “new node” suitable for low density rural subdivision that incorporates the Hocken Lane area.</p> <p>Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.</p>	Accept in part
88	South Island Rowing Inc.	2	In the alternative to submission point (1):	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			Amend the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone to exclude the entire SIR site from the Subzone. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	
88	South Island Rowing Inc.	3	In the alternative to submission point (1): Extend the Ruataniwha Rowing Area by amending Appendix B of the District Plan in accordance with the plan attached to the submission and marked 'B'. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Accept in part
88	South Island Rowing Inc.	4	Amend the second bullet point of Rural zone rule 3.1.1.g as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Be limited to storage, ablution, administration, launching, adjudication, <u>caretaker's residence, training and support facilities (NB: training and support facilities include: a kitchen, food, beverage, clothing and souvenir sales, lounge, ceremonial facilities and temporary accommodation for training purposes).</u> 	Accept in part
89	Frank Hocken	2	The Council add the Hocken Lane area in to the Twizel Rural area zone.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
89	Frank Hocken	4	That owners in Hocken Lane can subdivide down to 2ha, as this area is on the fringe of the town.	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
90	Frank Hocken	2	Alternative to Submission 1: Enlarge the Twizel area to include the area between Twizel River, Ohau river, Ohau Canal and Pukaki Canal where it meets at the old salmon farm.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Meridian Energy Ltd</i>	<i>F70</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
90	Frank Hocken	4	Remove the need for a resource consent to build in the enlarge Twizel area – i.e. between Twizel river, Oahu river, Oahu canal and Pukaki canal where it meets at the old salmon farm.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
94	Connie Heath	1	Land already titled and subdivision of which was approved by the MDC, along Ostler Road, Simon Cameron's subdivision on Old Glen Lyon Road, lifestyle blocks on the river side of Glen Lyon Road should be Residential 3 not Rural..	Reject
94	Connie Heath	2	Land on Northwest Arch currently in rural lifestyle and	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			privately owned should go Residential 3 and not Residential 1.	
104	Murray Ewans	1	No more subdivision around Twizel are allowed to start until all the existing sections are approximately 50% occupied and a suitable dwelling built on the property.	Reject
106	Dean Smith	8	There should be a defined urban edge to Twizel, with development restricted to being within that boundary.	Reject
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
	<i>Fountainblue Limited, Southern Serenity Limited and Pukaki Tourism Holdings Partnership</i>	<i>F83</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
108	Ralph Smith & I R Smith Family Trust	2	Remove need for resource consent for garages or garden sheds. Other alternatives may also be considered – these proposal are unreasonable for very small land holdings close to Twizel.	Accept in part
113	DJ & JL Raynor	1	That the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC 13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of, and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): 1. Amendments to the boundary of the Mackenzie Basin Subzone (or the Twizel township boundary) to exclude the Hocken Lane area from the Subzone thereby reinstating the current (operative) rural subdivision and land use regime; or 2. The identification of a separate Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone and the formulation of a land use and subdivision regime for this zone, similar to that proposed for Manuka Terrace <u>except</u> that residential buildings be allowed as a permitted activity within the Hocken Lane Rural Residential Zone (subject to compliance with the relevant building standards); or	Accept in part
113	DJ & JL Raynor	5	1 That an “existing homestead node” be identified on land owned by the submitters; or 2 Other recognition in the provisions of PC13 that the Submitters land is suitable fro rural-residential subdivision and landuse.	Reject
113	DJ & JL Raynor	6	That the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC 13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of, and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): 1 Acceptance and identification of an “existing node” or a new nose suitable for low density rural subdivision that incorporates Hocken Lane area	Accept in part
122	Simon & Priscilla Cameron	5	Alternatively to submission 1: - That the Mackenzie Basin Subzone be deleted on the western side of Twizel and extended to the Canal – see map attached to submission.	Accept
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Simons Pass Station Limited & Pukaki Irrigation Company Limited</i>	<i>F21</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>NZ Defence Force</i>	<i>F22</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Reject

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
127	Twizel Community Board	1	The Twizel Community Board wishes an outer rural boundary that will operate under the existing Rural rules, and will be exempt from the new proposed Rural Subzone Rules. This area will be known as Twizel Rural Lifestyle. The boundaries to be – all area south of the Twizel River from the Pukaki Canal to Lake Benmore. This will be the northern boundary. Southern boundary to be the old Oahu River Bed from Lake Benmore through to Lake Ruataniwha to the Ohau A Power Station. Western boundary to be Ohau A Power Station along Ohau Canal to the Twizel River.	Accept in part
	<i>Ruataniwha Farm Ltd</i>	<i>F11</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Canterbury Regional Council</i>	<i>F74</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept in part
	<i>High Country Rosehip Orchards Limited</i>	<i>F80</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
	<i>Mackenzie Lifestyles Limited</i>	<i>F81</i>	<i>Support</i>	Accept in part
132	Seeam Ghoorah & Daim Ghoorah	5	Council should take over Hocken Lane as it is being used by more than 6 landowners.	Reject

38. Twizel Water Supply Protection Area

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
35	John and Pauline Beekhuis	2	The deletion of the Twizel Water Supply Protection Area from Hocken Lane, or alternatively Council fund and install a suitable reticulated sewage system down Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
46	Bruce White	2	Oppose extension of Twizel water supply protection area of Hocken Lane subdivision	Reject
57	Alistair Shearer	5	That the provision water protection zone be removed from Plan Change 13 and a site specific assessment be undertaken to determine the dimensions of the Twizel Water Supply Protection Zone.	Reject
82	Hocken Lane Land Owners Association	2	The deletion of the Twizel Water Supply Protection Area from Hocken Lane, or alternatively Council fund and install a suitable reticulated sewage system down Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
85	Josh Billings and Ann Barton	2	The deletion of the Twizel Water Supply Protection Area from Hocken Lane, or alternatively Council fund and install a suitable reticulated sewage system down Hocken Lane. Any consequential amendments to any relevant part of the District Plan considered necessary to address the issues and concerns raised in this submission.	Reject
89	Frank Hocken	1	That the Twizel water zone be removed, or the Council puts in a pressure pipe line.	Reject
	<i>Mackenzie Branch of Federated Farmers</i>	<i>F39</i>	<i>Support</i>	Reject
	<i>South Canterbury Branch of/and the Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ</i>	<i>F62</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	Accept
113	DJ & JL Raynor	2	That the relevant provisions of the District Plan (as modified by PC 13) be amended in an appropriate manner that takes account of, and responds to the issues arising for determination as a consequence of this submission including (but not limited to): - The deletion of the Twizel Water Supply Protection Area from Hocken Lane, or alternatively Council fund and install a suitable reticulated sewage system down Hocken Lane.	Reject
132	Seeam Ghoorah & Daim Ghoorah	1	There should be no further land subdivision in the water catchment area above Hocken Lane	Accept in part
132	Seeam Ghoorah & Daim Ghoorah	2	No other new construction of dwellings or other buildings in the	Accept in part

SID	Submitter Name	RID	Request	Decision
			water catchment area above Hocken Lane.	
132	Seeam Ghoorah & Daim Ghoorah	3	Resource consent should be compulsory for all existing properties of any activities on the water catchment area.	Accept in part
132	Seeam Ghoorah & Daim Ghoorah	4	Council should take over all sensitive land in the water catchment area so the water table is preserved for future generations.	Accept in part