

Notice of Further Submission on Proposed Plan Change 27 to the Mackenzie District Plan

Resource Management Act – Form 6

Name of submitter: Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)

Physical address: 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch, 8011

Address for service: Canterbury Regional Council

PO Box 345

Christchurch 8140

Contact person: Rachel Tutty

Email: Regional.planning@ecan.govt.nz (CC rachel.tutty@ecan.govt.nz)

Telephone: +64 27 343 6568

Declaration: We made a submission on Proposed Plan Change 27 to the Mackenzie District Plan – our submitter ID number is: **31**, and we are a local authority for the relevant area.

Hearing option: We **do** wish to be heard in support of our submission and we would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Environment Canterbury is representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, and is a person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the general public, as the Mackenzie District is part of its region covered by its regional policy statement, and it made a submission on PC23.

Environment Canterbury would like to comment on the submissions of:

Submitter and submitter ID	Address	Submission points
Department of Conservation: Submitter ID 7	Private Bag 4715 Christchurch Mail Centre Christchurch 8041 Email: mbrass@doc.govt.nz	7.04 7.05
Transpower New Zealand Limited: Submitter ID 11	8 Aikmans Road Merivale Christchurch 8014 Email: ainsley@amconsulting environmental.policy@transpower.co.nz	11.04 11.05
New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi: Submitter ID 14	PO Box 1479 Christchurch 8011 Email: nick.reuther@nzta.govt.nz	14.43

Opuha Water Limited: Submitter ID 29	PO Box 244 Timaru 7940 Email: georgina@gressons.co.nz	29.01 29.02 29.03 29.07
Grampians Station Limited: Submitter ID 36	PO Box 244 Timaru 7940 Email: nicola@gressons.co.nz	36.01

Please find the details of our further submission included in the attached table below.

Yours faithfully



Jeff Smith

Team Leader Planning

This further submission is in relation to the submission of:	The submission point we support or oppose is:	The reasons for our support or opposition are:	Our position on this submission point is:	Support / Oppose Relief Sought
Department of Conservation Attention: Murray Brass	7.04	Environment Canterbury agrees that access should be restricted to protect environmental values and considers that this would better give effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by the Department of Conservation	Support
	7.05	Environment Canterbury agrees that access should be restricted to protect environmental values, but considers that there needs to be more clarity on when controls or restrictions on public access are required. This should reflect the reasons for restriction listed in CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Partially accept the relief sought by the Department of Conservation	Support in Part
Transpower New Zealand Limited Attention: Ainsley McLeod	11.04	Environment Canterbury agrees with the need to restrict access to protect public health and safety and considers that this addition gives better effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by Transpower New Zealand	Support
	11.05	Environment Canterbury agrees with the need to restrict access to protect public health and safety and considers that this addition gives better effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by Transpower New Zealand	Support
New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi Attention: Nick Reuther	14.43	Environment Canterbury agrees that expanding the requirement to avoid reverse sensitivity effects of subdivision on renewable energy generation to all regionally significant infrastructure better gives effect to CRPS Policy 5.3.9 while also still giving effect to CRPS Policy 16.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi	Support
Opuha Water Limited Attention: Georgina Hamilton	29.01	Environment Canterbury does not agree that public access should be restricted to where a landowner considers that the access is appropriate and does not consider that would give effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Reject the relief sought by Opuha Water Limited	Oppose

	29.02	Environment Canterbury does not agree that public access should be restricted to where a landowner considers that the access is appropriate and does not consider that would give effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Reject the relief sought by Opuha Water Limited	Oppose
	29.03	Environment Canterbury agrees with the need to restrict access to protect public health and safety and considers that this addition gives better effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by Opuha Water Limited	Support
	29.07	Environment Canterbury agrees that expanding the requirement to avoid reverse sensitivity effects of subdivision on renewable energy generation to all regionally significant infrastructure better gives effect to CRPS Policy 5.3.9 while also still giving effect to CRPS Policy 16.3.5.	Accept the relief sought by Opuha Water Limited	Support
Grampians Station Limited Attention: Nicola Hornsey	36.01	Environment Canterbury agrees with the need to restrict access to protect public health and safety and considers that this addition gives better effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5. Environment Canterbury does not agree that public access should be restricted to where a landowner considers that the access is appropriate and does not consider that would give effect to CRPS Policy 10.3.5.	Partially accept the relief sought by Grampians Station Limited in that access should not be restricted to when a landowner thinks it is appropriate, but should be able to be restricted to protect public health and safety.	Support in Part